## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**BMC Biology** 

## **Open Access**



# Global phylogenomic assessment of *Leptoseris* and *Agaricia* reveals substantial undescribed diversity at mesophotic depths

J. C. Gijsbers<sup>1\*</sup>, N. Englebert<sup>2</sup>, K. E. Prata<sup>1,3</sup>, M. Pichon<sup>4</sup>, Z. Dinesen<sup>5</sup>, R. Brunner<sup>2,6</sup>, G. Eyal<sup>3,7,8</sup>, F. L. González-Zapata<sup>9</sup>, S. E. Kahng<sup>10</sup>, K. R. W. Latijnhouwers<sup>11,12</sup>, P. Muir<sup>2</sup>, V. Z. Radice<sup>2,13</sup>, J. A. Sánchez<sup>9</sup>, M. J. A. Vermeij<sup>11,12</sup>, O. Hoegh-Guldberg<sup>2,3,7</sup>, S. J. Jacobs<sup>1</sup> and P. Bongaerts<sup>1,2,11\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** Mesophotic coral communities are increasingly gaining attention for the unique biological diversity they host, exemplified by the numerous mesophotic fish species that continue to be discovered. In contrast, many of the photosynthetic scleractinian corals observed at mesophotic depths are assumed to be depth-generalists, with very few species characterised as mesophotic-specialists. This presumed lack of a specialised community remains largely untested, as phylogenetic studies on corals have rarely included mesophotic samples and have long suffered from resolution issues associated with traditional sequence markers.

**Results** Here, we used reduced-representation genome sequencing to conduct a phylogenomic assessment of the two dominant mesophotic genera of plating corals in the Indo-Pacific and Western Atlantic, respectively, *Leptoseris* and *Agaricia*. While these genome-wide phylogenies broadly corroborated the morphological taxonomy, they also exposed deep divergences within the two genera and undescribed diversity across the current taxonomic species. Five of the eight focal species consisted of at least two sympatric and genetically distinct lineages, which were consistently detected across different methods.

**Conclusions** The repeated observation of genetically divergent lineages associated with mesophotic depths highlights that there may be many more mesophotic-specialist coral species than currently acknowledged and that an urgent assessment of this largely unstudied biological diversity is warranted.

Keywords Mesophotic, Phylogenetics, Scleractinia, Biodiversity, Depth-differentiation

\*Correspondence:

- J. C. Gijsbers
- jgijsbers@calacademy.org
- P. Bongaerts
- pim@calacademy.org
- <sup>1</sup> California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, CA 94118, USA

<sup>2</sup> Global Change Institute, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia

- <sup>3</sup> School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia
- <sup>4</sup> Biodiversity Section, Queensland Museum, Townsville 4810, Australia

<sup>5</sup> Centre for Biodiversity and Conservation Science, The University

of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia

<sup>6</sup> ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia

- <sup>7</sup> ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia
- <sup>8</sup> The Mina & Everard Goodman Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, 5290002 Ramat Gan, Israel
- <sup>9</sup> Laboratorio de Biología Molecular Marina (BIOMMAR), Departamento de Ciencias Biológicas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Los Andes, 111711 Bogotá, Colombia
- <sup>10</sup> Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 1000
  Pope Road, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA
- <sup>11</sup> CARMABI Foundation, Piscaderabaai Z/N, PO Box 2090, Willemstad, Curaçao
- <sup>12</sup> Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University
- of Amsterdam, Science Park 700, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands <sup>13</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/lublicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

#### Background

Because mesophotic coral ecosystems (MCEs) occur at depths beyond the limits of regular SCUBA diving (~30-150 m depth), they remain relatively understudied compared to shallow coral reefs, despite equalling or even exceeding the area occupied by the latter [1]. Over the past decades, interest in these deeper coral reef communities has grown due to their potential to act as a refuge against disturbances (for species with large depth distributions) [2-6] and as habitats hosting unique biological communities [7-10]. Their uniqueness is exemplified by the diversity and continuous discovery of depth-specialist fish species at mesophotic depths [7, 10], as well as the vast differences observed between shallow and mesophotic fish species assemblages [8]. Although a similar differentiation over depth has been observed for reef-building coral assemblages [8, 11], only a few scleractinian coral species dominate the assemblage at lower mesophotic depths [2, 12–17], and a small proportion of them are considered deep-specialists [17, 18].

Visual assessments (in situ or through imagery) of mesophotic coral diversity are challenging due to the intricate scale of and significant intraspecific variation in morphological traits used to identify species [18, 19]. Because collection-based assessments of coral diversity are rare for mesophotic reef corals (particularly for depths  $> \sim 60$  m) [11] and because reference collections contain mostly shallow-water coral specimens [17, 20], morphological differences among shallow and mesophotic species can easily go unnoticed. Moreover, genetic identification has been hampered by the lack of specieslevel resolution when using traditional sequencing markers in scleractinian corals [21-24]. Consequently, these methodological challenges have greatly hindered the ability to differentiate and identify putatively new scleractinian coral species associated with mesophotic depths.

Throughout the tropics, mesophotic coral ecosystems host reef-building scleractinian coral species with predominantly plating growth forms, which maximise light capture by their symbiotic dinoflagellates to sustain photosynthesis in low light conditions at greater depths [25–27]. Most of these plating corals belong to the family Agariciidae, with the genera Leptoseris and Pavona dominating mesophotic coral communities in the Indo-Pacific, and Agaricia in the Western Atlantic [12, 16, 19, 28]. In these genera, species generally occur over wide depth ranges, cover large areas of substrate, and provide important habitat structure to other reefassociated organisms [15, 29, 30]. The genus Leptoseris is particularly abundant at lower mesophotic depths (>60 m [26]) and has been reported down to depths of 172 m [31]. In Eastern Australia (Great Barrier Reef and Western Coral Sea), it has been observed down to 125 m depth [32], with four taxonomic species (Leptoseris scabra [33], Leptoseris glabra [20] (Leptoseris explanata sensu [34]), Leptoseris mycetoseroides [35], and Leptoseris hawaiiensis [33]) observed to dominate scleractinian coral communities at mesophotic depths [16, 17]. These species also have a wide geographical distribution ranging from the Red Sea [28, 36, 37] to the Hawaiian Archipelago (albeit with narrower depth distributions [19]). The Western Atlantic genus Agaricia comprises seven species, of which four are dominant members of mesophotic coral communities [29, 38, 39]: Agaricia lamarcki [40], Agaricia fragilis [41], Agaricia grahamae [42], and Agaricia undata [43]. These four species occur throughout most of the Caribbean basin, and A. fragilis even extends north to Bermuda [3] and south to the Brazilian coast [44]. The deepest Agaricia colony (A. grahamae) was reported from a depth of 119 m [45]. Nonetheless, many of these widespread Leptoseris and Agaricia species occur across large depth ranges, making them important candidates to address the question: to what extent do mesophotic coral communities harbour unique depth-specialised species?

Molecular assessments of the genera Leptoseris and Agaricia using traditional sequence markers have exposed polyphyletic patterns, often in discordance with morphology-based taxonomy [12, 15, 19, 29, 36], and frequently were unable to discriminate between some of the well-established and morphologically distinct agariciid species [12, 21, 22, 24, 46-49]. Nonetheless, despite the pervasive and well-known issues with these markers [23, 24], the few molecular studies that have been undertaken on this ecologically important family of scleractinian corals (Agariciidae) have highlighted the potential for undescribed diversity and depth-differentiation [12, 15, 19, 36]. Reduced-representation genome sequencing methods (e.g. sequencing of restriction siteassociated DNA sequencing, RAD-seq; or target capture of ultra-conserved elements, UCEs) have demonstrated their potential to overcome these issues and resulted in phylogenies that have strong support (e.g. [50-54]). Therefore, these methods are promising for studying the evolutionary relationships within the Agariciidae family. The increased resolution of such reduced representation methods was demonstrated through recent population genomics studies of Agaricia species, revealing significant genetic structuring within all four species dominating Atlantic mesophotic communities [3, 39, 55, 56]. Here, we build on these initial findings and present a phylogenomic assessment of the two dominant mesophotic genera found within the Agariciidae family (Fig. 1b, c) in the Indo-Pacific and Western Atlantic (Fig. 1a). Focusing on eight species from the genera Leptoseris and Agaricia

(Fig. 1a, b), we evaluate whether current systematics give an accurate reflection of the species diversity and extent of specialisation (i.e. depth specificity) in mesophotic coral communities.

#### Methods

#### Sample collection and preparation

Coral specimens (n = 189) from the genera *Leptoseris* and Agaricia were collected as part of the "XL Catlin Seaview Survey" during visits to Eastern Australia (Western Coral Sea and Great Barrier Reef) and the Southern Caribbean (Curaçao and Bonaire; (Fig. 1a, Additional file 1: Table S1)). Samples were collected in Eastern Australia with a Seabotix vLBV300 Remotely Operated Vehicle [16, 17] and in the Southern Caribbean with the "Curasub" submersible [12] and technical SCUBA. Small fragments (~1 cm<sup>2</sup>) were stored in 99% EtOH or NaCl 20% DMSO 0.5 M EDTA solutions for genomic DNA extraction. Bleached skeletal specimens (all Leptoseris, and a subset of Agaricia) were deposited at the Queensland Museum Collection or the Invertebrate Zoology collection at the California Academy of Sciences (Additional file 1: Table S1). Additional tissue samples, acquired from collaborators, were collected from the Hawaiian Archipelago, US Minor Outlying Islands, Gulf of Aqaba (Red Sea), San Andrés Island, Cartagena, and Santa Marta (Colombia). The Agaricia dataset includes nextRAD sequence data (n=52) from three published datasets [3, 4, 39, 55, 57, 58], and we also included Stephanocoenia intersepta (n=3 [3, 4]), Helioseris cucultata (n=5), and Pachyseris speciosa (n=3 [59]) samples to serve as outgroups (Additional file 1: Table S1).

#### Molecular dataset preparation

Genomic DNA was extracted as described in Bongaerts et al. [3, 60], using the additional centrifugation steps to reduce endosymbiont contamination when sufficiently high gDNA yields were obtained. Extracted gDNA was used to create nextRAD DNA libraries (SNPsaurus, LLC) using selective PCR primers to genotype genomic loci consistently [61]. gDNA was fragmented and ligated with Nextera adapters (Illumina Inc). Once ligated, fragmented DNA was PCR-amplified (26 cycles, 73 °C) with the matching primer for the adapter ("GTGTAGAGG"). Final libraries were sequenced (Illumina HiSeq 2500) to generate 100 bp single-end reads. Nextera adapters

(See figure on next page.)

and low-quality ends (PHRED-quality score below 20) were trimmed using TrimGalore v.0.6.4 (https://github. com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) to discard reads < 30 bp and trim sequences up to 100 bp. IpyRAD v.0.9.62 [62] was used for locus clustering and variant calling (85% clustering threshold, minimum coverage of six, minimum four samples per final locus, with all other settings run at default recommended values) (Additional file 1: Table S2).

Symbiont contamination was identified through a BLASTN comparison of each nextRAD locus against nextRAD sequence data from isolated Symbiodiniaceae, and four published Symbiodiniaceae genomes: Symbiodinium microadriaticum [63], Breviolum minutum [64], Cladocopium goreaui [65], and Durusdinium trenchii [66] removing positive matches (maximum E-value =  $10^{-15}$ ) from the coral nextRAD loci. Potential microbial contamination was identified by an additional BLASTN comparison against the NCBI non-redundant database. The taxonomic IDs of positive matches (maximum E-value = 10<sup>-4</sup>) of non-cnidarian taxa were removed from the dataset. Three datasets were created from the filtered assembly and used for all downstream analyses: the Leptoseris dataset, composed of individuals from the genus Leptoseris with Helioseris cucullata and A. fragilis as outgroups (n=127); the Agaricia dataset, composed of individuals from the genus Agaricia with H. cucullata and *L. glabra* as outgroups (n=70); and the "Agalepto" dataset, composed of individuals from both genera with H. cucullata, S. intersepta, and P. speciosa as outgroups (n=201) (Fig. 1d, e, Additional file 1: Table S1). NextRAD loci were then trimmed to 90 bp and filtered to retain loci genotyped for  $\geq 10$  samples, with the resulting Variant Call Format (VCF) file filtered to retain only those SNPs genotyped for  $\geq 10\%$  of samples (Additional file 1: Table S3).

#### Phylogenetic and species tree inference

Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic inference was performed using RAxML-ng v.1.0.1 [67] and a concatenated matrix with complete sequences of all loci. The best fit model of nucleotide substitution GTR+I+G4 was identified by ModelTest-ng x.y.z [68] and independent searches and bootstrap replicates were performed on each alignment until convergence was reached. To assess genealogical concordance, we used the concordance

**Fig. 1** Overview of the *Leptoseris* and *Agaricia* study species. **a** Map of the sampling locations for *Leptoseris* in the Indo-Pacific (blue) and *Agaricia* in the Western Atlantic (red). **b** *Leptoseris*-dominated coral community at 87 m depth in the Hawaiian Archipelago (photo: Hawaiian Undersea Research Laboratory). **c** *Agaricia*-dominated coral community at 40 m in Curaçao, Southern Caribbean. **d** Phylogenetic tree (RAxML-ng) based on 37,528 concatenated nextRAD loci (3,361,114 sites) of the overall dataset, highlighting the position of *Leptoseris* (blue) and *Agaricia* (red) relative to included outgroups. Specimens from the focal species of this study are represented by coloured branches in the tree, with grey branches representing unidentified specimens



Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)

factor analysis as implemented in IQ-Tree 2.1.4-beta [69, 70]. This analysis first infers single locus phylogenies (coupled with model selection) and then calculates the percentage of gene trees and alignment sites that are concordant with a reference ML topology (Gene (gCF) and site concordance factors (sCF), respectively; Additional file 1: Table S4-S5). The reference ML topology was inferred in IQ-Tree using the concatenated matrix, applying an edge-linked proportional partition model [65] and employing standard model selection [71]. Species tree inference was performed using Tetrad, a coalescent species tree approach based on SVDquartets [72] implemented in IpyRAD v.0.9.65 [62]. This analysis constructs quartets using SNPs sampled from each locus and joins these to create a 'supertree' statistically consistent under the multispecies coalescent model. A single SNP was randomly sampled from each locus, all possible quartets were sampled, and 100 bootstrap replicates performed generating a majority-rule consensus tree and individual trees were used to generate a density tree.

#### Genetic structure using SNP-based analyses

To assess the genetic structure across our samples while avoiding the bias of a priori species assignment, we used de novo discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) [73], an unsupervised dimensionality reduction method where novel genetic clusters are defined using a K-means clustering method and subsequently visualised using principal components analysis (PCA). The DAPC analysis was conducted in R with the Adegenet package [74], choosing PCs based upon the optimal a-score and assessing the optimal numbers of clusters running K-means sequentially with increasing values of K, starting with K equal to the lowest AIC and BIC and then sequentially increasing K up to the maximum number of clades found in the ML tree for comparison.

#### Species delimitation under the multispecies coalescent

We used the DELINEATE framework [75] to assess potential species boundaries of observed genetic clusters. This approach combines elements of the tree structure, branch length, and speciation completion rate to identify species. Importantly, it integrates and differentiates both population fragmentation (i.e. the initiation of potential speciation) and speciation completion events into the analysis of diversification by comparing and contrasting "known" species from "putative" species (contributing to the speciation completion rate). For each dataset (*Leptoseris* and *Agaricia*), nextRAD loci were filtered, aiming to retain ~ 200 loci (to manage computational load) while minimising the amount of missing data and maximising the number of retained individuals. Candidate genetic populations were identified using a combination of topology (observed genetic clusters), geography, and ecology-based criteria, resulting in the finest-grain population units that could be discerned. Specifically, we considered individuals from the same ecoregion and/or depth range that were also from the same genetic cluster to be a candidate population. Consequently, individuals from outlier depths, distinct ecoregions, or unidentified specimens were considered as potentially distinct lineages and identified as additional candidate populations. Using these candidate populations, a guide tree for BP&P v.4.6.2 [76] analyses was generated using Star-Beast2 v.2.7.3 [77] applying a single strict clock model, and a HKY+G model of substitution. For this analysis, two replicate runs were conducted for 100 million generations each until convergence (>250 ESS) was reached. Using this guide tree, we ran BP&P in A10 mode twice to identify distinct populations units under the multispecies (termed "multi-population" in the DELINEATE framework) coalescent model, which collapses or separates populations provided in the guide tree. We applied a posterior probability threshold of 0.90 to determine population units which were then used to generate an ultrametric phylogeny of populations using StarBeast2. This time, we conducted six independent StarBeast2 runs for 100 million generations with a sampling frequency of 5000 generations. The resulting trees were merged and summarised, generating a Maximum Clade Credibility Tree (MCCT) as the summary topology. Using this summary topology, we distinguished constrained and unconstrained lineages-distinguishing well-established species from those that remain the focus of species delimitation-by identifying morphologically and ecologically cohesive clades as constrained lineages and those lineages whose species status remains unknown or controversial as unconstrained lineages.

#### Comparison with traditional sequence markers

To compare the resolution of nextRAD with traditional mitochondrial markers, cox1-1-rRNA intron sequence data of 101 Leptoseris, 9 Agaricia, and 1 Pavona individuals were amplified using AGAH/AGAL primer pairs [78]. The PCR amplifications were performed following the approach of Bongaerts et al. [29]. Agarose gels were used to assess the quality of the PCR products, then cleaned (ExoSAP-IT) and sequenced in forward and reverse directions (ABI BigDye Terminator chemistry, Australian Genome Research Facility). Additionally, previously published *cox*1-1-rRNA intron sequence data of 46 Leptoseris individuals [79], 61 Agaricia [12, 13, 80, 81], 1 Pavona clavus [12, 13], and 1 L. hawaiiensis [12, 13] individuals were retrieved from GenBank. Codoncode Aligner was used to analyse the resulting sequences. ML phylogenies were inferred using RAxML-ng v.0.9.0 on the

concatenated alignment, under the K80 + G4 model, with a calculation of 20 trees and bootstrap support values based on 50,000 and 3300 replicates for species from the genera *Leptoseris* and *Agaricia*, respectively.

#### Results

## Phylogenomic patterns across the genera *Leptoseris* and *Agaricia*

Using a reduced-representation sequencing approach (nextRAD), we recovered an average of 2.6 million reads (range: 580 K-12.7 M) from 201 scleractinian coral specimens; Leptoseris samples averaged 1.8 million reads (Additional file 2: Figure S1b), and Agaricia samples averaged 3.7 million reads (Additional file 2: Figure S2b). A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of the overall dataset, including outgroup genera (based on 37,528 nextRAD loci), confirmed that all of the ingroup specimens belonged to either the Leptoseris or Agaricia clade (Fig. 1d, e). One exception was a group of five presumed "Leptoseris" specimens from the Red Sea that grouped with the Pachyseris outgroup and were later identified as Pachyseris inatessa (Fig. 1e). After separating the datasets and filtering, the Leptoseris dataset consisted of 15,250 nextRAD loci and 100,270 SNPs, and the Agaricia dataset of 19,902 nextRAD loci and 221,031 SNPs. For the genealogical concordance analysis, we inferred phylogenies for all loci containing data for  $\geq 10\%$  of the samples, resulting in 10,317 and 30,650 nextRAD loci for Leptoseris and Agaricia, respectively (Additional file 2: Figure S3c-de; S4c-de). The phylogenetic analyses identified the current taxonomic species within both genera and exposed genetic substructure with additional molecular clades observed within our focal Leptoseris and Agaricia species, respectively.

For the genus Leptoseris, maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference using both RAxML-ng and IQTree recovered extremely similar topologies consisting of many well-supported clades, particularly at deeper nodes (RAxML-ng: 6.92–100%, bootstrap range; IQTree: 35–100%; Additional file 2: Figure S3a-b). Gene (gCF) and site concordance factors (sCF) across the IQTree topology were lower and variable, particularly near the shallow nodes of the tree (gCF:  $7.0 \pm 12.2\%$ , mean  $\pm$  SD; sCF: 42.1±16.8%; Additional file 2: Figure S3c-d), indicating the presence of discordant signal across loci and sites (most likely attributed to the short length of nextRAD loci). The species tree analysis using Tetrad recovered similar clades as those identified with ML, although the relationship among clades differs, and their support varies (1-100%; 42.3±30.4%; Additional file 2: Figure S5a). Clustering analysis (de novo DAPC) recovered similar groupings, but it identified more substructure than the latter at higher numbers of K corresponding with the same clades found in the ML and species tree (Additional file 2: Figure S6a). The exception was one group observed in a *L. glabra* clade (with mixed assignment to a spurious cluster that lacked individuals fully assigned to it). Four genetic clusters observed within the focal species were assigned by DELINEATE as putatively different species (i.e. the boundaries between them and closely related lineages were determined to be species- rather than population-level boundaries) (Additional file 2: Figure S9).

While the clades recovered across methods for Leptoseris were largely composed of a single taxonomic species, all taxonomic focal species were represented by multiple clades. In several cases, these different clades were separated by relatively deep nodes (e.g. for L. scabra and L. mycetoseroides; Fig. 2a), whereas others represented substructuring within major clades (based on the tree topologies, the signatures of admixture at higher values of K from DAPC analysis; Additional file 2: Figure S6a, and support for species boundaries in the DELINEATE analysis; Additional file 2: Figure S9). Samples belonging to the two divergent clades of L. mycetoseroides corresponded to mesophotic samples from WCS (Western Coral Sea; 5 out of 6) and shallow samples from Hawaii/ USMOI (United States Minor Outlying Islands; 5 out of 6), respectively (Fig. 2a). The former clade was identified as L. cf. mycetoseroides due to morphological variations from typical L. mycetoseroides (Pichon and Dinesen, personal observation). Similarly, while the two clades of L. scabra occurred sympatrically on reefs of the WCS and GBR (Great Barrier Reef), the species delimitation analysis supports them as two putatively separate species ("DelineatedSp001"/clade A2; and "DelineatedSp003"/ clade D2, Additional file 2: Figure S9). These clades were differentially characterised by depth, one clade (clade A2) was composed of a mix of shallow (10–20 m; n = 13) and mesophotic (40-60 m; n=19) specimens and the other (clade D2) exclusively composed of mesophotic specimens (40-80 m, n = 14). There were three clades composed of L. glabra specimens; two (clade B1) had a wide geographic distribution (including Australia and Red Sea) and exclusively contain specimens from mesophotic depths (n=4 and 6), and the third largest clade (clade B2; also observed in Australia and Red Sea) represented a mix of shallow (10–20 m; n=8) and mesophotic specimens (40–60 m, n = 10). The position of the former two smaller clades was not consistent across ML and coalescent phylogenies and had inconsistent assignments in DAPC. One of the candidate populations in the bottom clade (clade B1) was therefore constrained separately as a "L. glabra/L. scabra" clade, which DELINEATE collapsed with the other clade (Additional file 2: Figure S9). L. (cf.) hawaiiensis specimens were observed across four clades (Fig. 2a). One clade (clade C1) comprised samples



**Fig. 2** *Leptoseris* and *Agaricia*. **a** Phylogenetic tree (RAxML-ng) of the genus *Leptoseris* based on 15,250 concatenated nextRAD loci. **b** Phylogenetic tree (RAxML-ng) of the genus *Agaricia* based on 19,902 concatenated nextRAD loci. Colours across the trees represent the different identified clades (across phylogenetic and clustering methods) using blue, turquoise, purple, and green colours to represent the taxonomic species and shades of the different subclades. Columns next to the tree correspond to the taxonomic identification, sampling location, and sampling depth. The summary column on the right outlines the consensus taxonomic identification of each clade, and pie charts summarise the sampling depths and locations. The right-most column indicates the assignment based on the DELINEATE analyses (more details in Additional file 2: Figure S9; S10)

from primarily lower mesophotic depths on the GBR and WCS, but matched a specimen identified as Leptoseris sp. 1 from Hawaii [79]. A second clade (clade C2) had widespread representation containing specimens from Australia, USMOI, and the Red Sea, and originating from depths down to 60 m. In contrast, the third L. hawaiiensis clade (clade C3) contained almost exclusively specimens from below 120 m depth in Hawaii, with a closely related individual originating from the GBR collected at 124 m depth, and was identified as a putatively different species by the DELINEATE analysis ("DelineatedSp002"/ clade C3) (Additional file 2: Figure S9). The fourth clade was identified as L. cf. hawaiiensis due to morphological variations from typical L. hawaiiensis (Pichon and Dinesen, personal observation), with samples from 60 m in the WCS. These were grouped together with the latter "deep" clade (clade C3) by the DELINEATE analysis (Additional file 2: Figure S9). There were also five Leptoseris sp. that could not be identified further: one of them (87 m depth; WCS) was related to the former L. (cf.) hawaiiensis clades. Two samples (40-60 m depth; WCS) grouped with respectively the L. glabra and L. glabra/ scabra clade. The remaining two Leptoseris sp. originating from shallow depths (9 and 22 m, Hawaii) grouped with the shallow L. hawaiiensis (clade C2) and L. mycetoseroides clades from Hawaii; however, the former was identified as a different species in the DELINEATE analysis ("DelineatedSp004"). The analyses also included a single specimen of L. amitoriensis from the Red Sea, which grouped closest to the clade containing Leptoseris sp. 1 (Fig. 2a).

For the genus Agaricia, maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference using both RAxML-ng and IQTree also recovered very similar topologies consisting of several well-supported clades (RAxML-ng: 21.4-100%, bootstrap range; 80.3 ± 23.6%, mean ± SD; IQTree: 74–100%;  $98.1 \pm 5.3\%$ ; Additional file 2: Figure S4). Both phylogenies supported a major division of the currently acknowledged Agaricia genus into two major clades, representing the focal species, A. grahamae, A. fragilis, A. lamarcki, and A. undata, and the taxonomic species, A. agaricites, A. humilis, and A. tenuifolia [41] (Fig. 2b). Once again, the concordance factor values (gCF and sCF) across the IQTree topology were low and variable, particularly near the shallow nodes of the tree (gCF:  $7.2 \pm 12.4\%$ , mean  $\pm$  SD; sCF: 51.6  $\pm$  22.2%; Additional file 2: Figure S4). The species tree analysis (Tetrad) recovered the same topology, consisting of the same genetic clades as those identified during ML analyses, with high statistical support, especially for the major clades (74–100%; 98.1±5.3%; Additional file 2: Figure S5b). Clustering analysis (de novo DAPC) recovered similar clusters to the tree topologies and species delimitation analysis, but it also identified more substructure than the latter at higher levels of K, corresponding with the same clades found in the tree topologies (Additional file 2: Figure S6b). DELINEATE results suggest five of the genetic clusters observed across our four focal species are putatively new species (Additional file 2: Figure S10).

Although each of the observed clades in the Agari*cia* phylogenies was composed of specimens of a single taxonomic species, all four taxonomic focal species were split across two or more monophyletic subclades. A. grahamae exhibited further structuring beyond those subclades (also reflected in the DAPC results). A. grahamae specimens were represented by three major sympatric clades (Fig. 2b). Clade A1 (with substantial substructure; Additional file 2: Figure S10) corresponded to the lowermesophotic (>60 m) specimens from both San Andrés (n=7) and specimens from Bonaire and Curaçao uppermesophotic reefs (n=7, Fig. 2b). The remaining A. gra*hamae* clades (clade A2, n = 7; A3, n = 3, Additional file 2: Figure S10) consisted mostly of specimens from uppermesophotic depths (<60 m) from Curaçao and Bonaire, although one of those clades (clade A2) contained a sample from both Colombia and San Andrés. In the DELINE-ATE analysis, two of the subclades of A1 were considered separate populations of the same putative distinct species in the DELINEATE analysis ("DelineatedSp005"/clade A1), while clade A3 ("DelineatedSp006") was classified as a putative distinct species. The A. lamarcki consisted of two sympatrically occurring clades with one clade exclusively representing mesophotic specimens (n=6)and the other mostly shallow water specimens (n=6). DELINEATE confirmed these as putatively different species ("DelineatedSp008"/clade C2). The A. undata clade was further split into two clades corresponding to two sampling regions; the Southern Caribbean (Curaçao) containing lower-mesophotic specimens (n=4, clade D2) and South-western Caribbean (Colombia and San Andrés) consisting of upper-mesophotic specimens (n=6), although with DAPC clustering showing admixture between clusters (Additional file 2: Figure S6b) and DELINEATE indicating species-level differentiation for the Colombian subclade ("DelineatedSp009"/clade D1). Three specimens identified as Agaricia sp. from Colombia formed a separate clade related to A. fragilis from Bermuda (n=6), which was identified by DELINEATE as a putatively different species "DelineatedSp007" (clade B2). Specimens from A. agaricites, A. tenuifolia, and A. humilis formed a separate, highly divergent clade from the other Agaricia spp. Within this clade, A. humilis was the most divergent, with the A. tenuifolia from Colombia (n=4) and *A. agaricites* from Curaçao and Bonaire (n=3)forming more closely related groups and exhibiting high

levels of admixture in the DAPC clustering analyses (Additional file 2: Figure S6b).

#### Comparison with traditional sequence markers

Phylogenetic analysis of the mitochondrial sequence marker cox1-1-rRNA using a ML approach (RAxMLng) for a subset of the Australian Leptoseris specimens (n=101, Additional file 2: Figure S7) including available sequences from Luck et al. [19], identified several major molecular clades with high bootstrap support that exceeded the number of focal taxonomic species (Additional file 2: Figure S7). Even though many of these clades consisted of specimens from a single taxonomic species, substantial polyphyly was observed with clades consisting of representatives from multiple taxonomic taxa. These clades do not appear to be described by geography nor bathymetric origins, with the exception of two divergent L. scabra clades consisting only of mesophotic representatives (clade A1: 40–101 m, n = 19, clade D1/D2: 40–79 m, n=7; Additional file 2: Figure S7, S9), as well as three L. hawaiiensis clades containing only L. hawaiiensis specimens mostly from mesophotic depths (clade C3: 60 m, n = 3; clade C1: 40–82 m, n = 8/9, Luck's clade: 40–60 m, n = 12, including an unidentified sample from 124 m, Additional file 2: Figure S7, S9). For Agaricia, a comparison with available sequences (n=61) from previous studies [12, 80] and additional sequences (n=9)using traditional sequence markers (cox1-1-rRNA) distinguished between three major clades, one consisting of A. grahamae, A. fragilis, and A. lamarcki; one consisting of A. undata; and another one composed by A. agaricites and A. humilis. However, this marker did not consistently discriminate between taxonomic species within these two groups (Additional file 2: Figure S8).

### Discussion

Mesophotic coral ecosystems have gathered great scientific interest over the past decade, with numerous assessments evaluating the similarities and differences of these spatially extensive biological communities as compared to their shallow-water counterparts. From these, it has become clear that mesophotic communities become increasingly distinct with depth and can host unique and diverse species assemblages [8, 17, 82]. However, despite the growing number of accounts of depth-differentiated genetic clusters within scleractinian coral species (e.g. [3, 83, 84]), most scleractinian coral species at mesophotic depths are characterised as depth-generalists, with only a handful of reef-building scleractinian species recognised as mesophotic-specialists [18]. Through an extensive phylogenomic assessment of plating corals belonging to two dominant mesophotic genera in the Indo-Pacific and Caribbean (Leptoseris and Agaricia), we uncover

undescribed diversity with (1) assumed depth-generalists representing multiple depth-associated taxa, and (2) depth-specialist species consisting of multiple sympatric taxa. Overall, the results indicate that coral communities at mesophotic depths are more speciose and likely more specialised than currently acknowledged, urging for both systematic and ecological studies to capture and better understand this diversity.

#### Phylogenomic insights into Leptoseris diversity

The observed phylogenomic patterns based on nextRAD data confirm that Leptoseris represent a taxonomically diverse group and comprise several highly divergent clades. When considering assignments based on the current Leptoseris taxonomy [20, 34], species appear to be polyphyletic and often even separated by deep nodes (Fig. 2a), similar to previous studies using a mitochondrial intergenic spacer region [15, 19, 36]. Although the mitochondrial marker seemed initially promising for species delineation based on specimens solely from Hawaii [15, 19], it did show pervasive polyphyly that extended across both Leptoseris and Agaricia genera [19], and a lack of genetic variation across morphologically divergent taxonomic species when applied in a different geographic region [36]. Using the same marker, we obtained similar results for specimens from Australia, where some of the taxonomic diversity is captured though not consistently, with taxonomic species spread widely across the tree (Additional file 2: Figure S7). In contrast, the nextRAD data shows much more consistent phylogenetic patterns, with all recovered clades consisting almost exclusively of a single taxonomic species (Fig. 2a). The patterns corroborate the current taxonomy based on morphological differences, but with the increased genomic resolution, sample sizes, and geographic range, also unveiling additional diversity associated with specific geographic regions and bathymetric ranges. Given the congruence with both taxonomic species and spatial distributions, the observed phylogenomic patterns are expected to reflect the evolutionary relationships within this genus more closely than traditional markers and thus demonstrate the resolving power of genome-wide sequencing methods.

Within the genus *Leptoseris*, the major split in *L. myce-toseroides* seems to correspond with both geography and depth (Fig. 2a). Given that the type specimen originates from the Marshall Islands (adjacent to USMOI) [85], that there are no junior synonyms reported for this species [85], and that several specimens from East Australia were noted as morphologically distinct, it is possible that the Hawaii/USMOI clade represents the original *L. mycetose-roides* with the mesophotic specimens in the East Australian lineage representing an undescribed species. One

of the lineages identified for L. hawaiiensis consisted of predominantly lower mesophotic specimens from the WCS and matched with a Leptoseris "sp. 1" specimen from Hawaii, where it was identified as a putatively new species based on its distinct micromorphology [19]. In the cox1-1-rRNA phylogeny, one of our specimens from this lineage also grouped with the Leptoseris "sp. 1" clade from Luck et al. [19], although the other specimens from the same nextRAD clade were widely spread across the tree (Additional file 2: Figure S7). This indicates that this predominantly mesophotic clade is present in Australian waters and may be geographically widespread, although further investigations are warranted. The other two L. hawaiiensis clades each showed different depth distributions, with one from predominantly>100 m depth in Hawaii, and another representing morphologically atypical specimens from 60 m depth in Australia, although the DELINEATE analysis identified both as part of the same putative distinct species. Related to these clades but branching separately was a single specimen collected from the GBR at 124 m, representing the deepest published report of a zooxanthellate coral collected from the GBR [32], and indicating that Leptoseris lineages occurring at the lower boundaries of mesophotic depths may represent a distinct species. We observed a similar partitioning into depth-associated clades for L. scabra, with a further subdivision into additional geographically sympatric clades. As reported by Luck et al. [19], the deeper clade of L. scabra is more divergent compared to the other Leptoseris taxa (although not resulting in generic polyphyly), and with cox1-1-rRNA sequences matching those from Hawaii. Detailed morphological characterisation of the specimens (beyond identification according to currently acknowledged taxonomic species) was beyond the scope of the current study, but is the focus of ongoing work that aims to determine which of the exposed taxa are cryptic versus morphologically differentiated.

#### Phylogenomic insights into Agaricia diversity

For the genus *Agaricia*, we observed a split into two major clades corresponding to species with deeper (*A. grahamae, A. fragilis, A. lamarcki, A. undata*) and shallower (*A. agaricites, A. humilis, A. tenuifolia*) distributions (Fig. 2b). This division was also observed using the *cox*1-1-rRNA region (Additional file 2: Figure S8) as well as other mitochondrial markers [12, 29, 76, 86–88], although these markers have lacked the resolution to consistently discern the established taxonomic species within the clades. The division into two major clades is further corroborated by a split in gross morphology (unifacial versus bifacial colonies), microskeletal characteristics (including wall thickness and septocostae orientation; [89]), and genetic relatedness among symbiont

associations within each major clade [29]. Based on a morphological analysis of modern and fossil representatives, Stemann [89] proposed these should represent two separate genera: *Agaricia* and *Undaria* [90]. Recent differences in spatial genetic structure observed across taxa further suggest major differences in reproductive strategies between these major clades [55]. Given the major phylogenetic divergence observed in this study (Fig. 2b), and further corroborated by the major locus dropout between clades (Additional file 2: Figure S2), we argue that the shallower and deeper *Agaricia* indeed represent two genetically, morphologically, and biologically divergent groups that arguably might warrant generic reassignment.

The phylogenetic analyses supported the current taxonomic species within Agaricia but revealed genetic substructure with additional lineages observed within our four focal Agaricia species (Fig. 2b). This structure was consistently recovered across phylogenetic and clustering methods and often corresponded to different depths and/ or geographic regions, indicating the role of both environmental and geographical (i.e. allopatric) contributors to the underlying diversification processes. Our analyses included four focal species previously assessed in population genomic studies with varying levels of intraspecific genetic structure [3, 39, 55]. Through a combined phylogenomic analysis and species delimitation approach, we were able to assess this genetic structuring in the context of interspecific variation. For example, despite the genome-wide differentiation observed for shallow and deep A. fragilis populations in Bermuda [3], representative specimens of those populations formed a single lineage here (i.e. under a phylogenomic framework), indicating that these reflect the earlier stages of the divergence continuum. In contrast, the A. lamarcki samples clearly separated into two distinct lineages associated with predominantly shallower (15 m) and upper mesophotic (50 m) depths (Fig. 2b) despite their geographically sympatric distribution and ongoing low levels of gene flow [55], and clearly represent distinct evolutionary units (Additional file 2: Figure S10). Similarly, we observed three distinct lineages of A. grahamae occurring sympatrically in Curaçao and Bonaire with varying levels of divergence (Fig. 2b), of which all of them were delimited as putative species (Additional file 2: Figure S9). While these lineages were not partitioned by depth, it indicates undescribed specialist mesophotic taxa that are currently not accounted for. The two phylogenetic clades observed for Agaricia undata corresponded with geography (Curaçao vs Colombia and San Andrés); however, DELINEATE separates these groups differently (Curaçao and San Andrés vs Colombia). Several Colombian specimens that could not be confidently identified

down to species-level through morphological assessment (*Agaricia* sp. clade) grouped as a distinct lineage related to *A. fragilis* from Bermuda, indicating the presence of a related species in the Southern Caribbean. In the aforementioned "*Undaria*" clade, *A. humilis* formed a separate clade from *A. agaricites* and *A. tenuifolia* specimens, corroborating their ecological and reproductive differences [38]. The separation between *A. agaricites* and *A. tenuifolia* was confounded by distinct geographic origins for the specimens; however, the contrasting support between clustering and species delimitation analyses indicates that these morphologically similar species warrant further taxonomic investigation.

#### Evolutionary patterns and remaining challenges

As a result of this study, we can now begin to directly compare and contrast the present diversity of these genera and to hypothesise the existence of associated generative processes. An evident pattern we observe across both genera is the depth-associated divergence within several focal species (e.g. L. scabra, L. hawaiiensis, and A. lamarcki). Depth is an important contributor to the divergence of marine species [78, 91, 92], with several well-studied examples in scleractinian corals (e.g. [93-97]). Our results corroborate the expectation that depth has led to divergent species associated with mesophotic depths [92] and that the underestimated diversity of mesophotic-specialist species is likely to be a consequence of logistical and taxonomic challenges [18]. However, depth does not immediately explain the sympatric taxa we observed within mesophotic-specialist species (e.g. A. grahamae (A1) and the "deep" L. scabra clade (D1/2), lacking clear depth differences). Their ecological differentiation may be related to finer-scale environmental conditions and could be assessed further within a spatially explicit framework to allow microhabitat characterisation [98].

Another clear pattern we observe here is the higher levels of taxonomic/phylogenetic discordance in (often sympatrically occurring) genetic groups in Leptoseris, relative to Agaricia. This difference might be the result of Leptoseris having a larger geographic distribution spanning a variety of ecoregions (Indo-Pacific and Caribbean; [99, 100]) in contrast to the relatively restricted geographic distribution of Agaricia in the Western Atlantic and Caribbean [99]. In addition, the fossil record of Leptoseris dates back to the Oligocene (~23 Ma years, [101]), while *Agaricia* is a younger genus, dating from the Neogene~12 Ma years [102], and likely diversified over the last ~ 3 Ma years following the closure of the Central American Seaway [99, 102]. These differences suggest increased opportunities for ecological diversification in Leptoseris (compared to Agaricia), while signatures of admixture (Additional file 2: Figure S6a) suggest a possible history of hybridisation and reticulation (i.e. the process of genetic lineages both merging and/or diverging through time; [103]) among its lineages. It is important to note, however, that the number of loci and sites supporting some of these polyphyletic patterns are low (Additional file 2: Figure S3c); thus, additional sequence data (e.g. longer/more informative loci, whole-genome data) might reveal additional patterns within this genus (see below).

The reduced representation sequencing data across multiple analytical approaches consistently recovered groupings of individuals (i.e. clades and/or clusters) consistent with biological, ecological, or morphological evidence. Compared to traditional sequence markers, our genome-wide sequencing approach resulted in a higher resolving power, confirming the status of current morphologically and ecologically divergent taxonomic species and allowing us to gain a better insight into the evolutionary history of members of both genera. Despite the consistent topology recovered across approaches, the support for these underlying phylogenies did vary across analytical methods. For example, despite the strong bootstrap support recovered across all ML phylogenies, the gene- and site-concordance analysis revealed variable values in both datasets, indicating, on average, a low fraction of gene trees and a moderate fraction of alignment sites supporting each topology (Additional file 2: Figure S3; S4). This discrepancy between methods is often observed across different RAD-seq approaches, in most cases, due to the short length of each locus and its associated phylogenetic information [104-107]. Targeted sequence-capture approaches focused on ultra-conserved elements, or exons, can potentially represent an alternative to recover greater concordance across loci. Because conserved regions are less affected by demographic events, and next to these regions, more variable sites can be considered side by side, these genomic regions provide a more holistic view of individual evolutionary histories [108, 109]. Compared to RAD-seq, however, the generally greater cost of such sequence-capture approaches often translates to smaller sample sizes and less replication. Regardless, the implemented phylogenetic and species delimitation methods are only able to consider genetic divergence as a determinant of species status (e.g. phylogenetic species concept) and whether these groups exhibit either intrinsic or extrinsic reproductive barriers remains untested. To this end, we also considered depth, geography, and sympatry in the assessment of potential of species boundaries. We recognise the limitations and risk of circular reasoning in the used species delimitation method, especially with suboptimal sampling (per depth and geographic region) and the difficulty and associated

bias of assigning the constrained lineages, and we, therefore, recommend the identified delineated species to be interpreted with caution. Ultimately, whole-genome resequencing of representatives across a wide range of depths and geographies will enable the identification of the loci involved in the diversification of *Leptoseris* and *Agaricia*, help assess divergence histories through considering introgression events, and uncover the nature of reproductive barriers if paired with environmental, phenotypic, and reproductive data.

#### Conclusions

Our results shed light on the diversity of two key coral genera of mesophotic ecosystems, Leptoseris and Agaricia. Using genome-wide sequencing data in a phylogenomic framework, we observed that genomic data corroborate current morpho-taxonomic criteria, but also exposed considerable undescribed diversity associated with mesophotic depths. Distinguishing where these different taxa sit along the speciation continuum remains difficult, due to the challenges of species delimitation methods. Nonetheless, current taxonomic species were observed to comprise multiple highly divergent (e.g. L. scabra, L. mycetoseroides) or sympatrically occurring but geographically widespread lineages (e.g. L. glabra, A. grahamae), indicating that a reasonable extent of reproductive isolation has evolved. Further integrative taxonomic studies are currently being developed to formally describe the uncovered species diversity, and verify whether these taxa are morphologically cryptic, differentiated, and/or potentially align with junior synonyms. Overall, our study highlights how our perception of mesophotic coral ecosystems is affected by our shallow knowledge bias, and that studying the ecology and evolution of this newly exposed mesophotic biodiversity should be a priority in order to advance our understanding of these ecosystems.

#### Abbreviations

MCE	Mesophotic coral ecosystem
RAD-seq	Restriction site-associated sequencing
UCE	Ultra-conserved elements
VCF	Variant call format
ML	Maximum likelihood
gCF	Gene concordance factors
sCF	Site concordance factors
DAPC	Discriminant analysis of principal components
PCA	Principal components analysis
WCS	Western Coral Sea
USMOI	United States Minor Outlying Islands
GBR	Great Barrier Reef

#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12915-023-01630-1.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Specimens overview. Table S2. IpyRAD params file. Table S3. Data filtering. Table S4. Concordance factor statistics for the *Leptoseris* dataset. Table S5. Concordance factor statistics for the *Agaricia* dataset.

Additional file 2: Figure S1. Number of shared sites among *Leptoseris* specimens. Figure S2. Number of shared sites among *Agaricia* specimens. Figure S3. Genealogical concordance for the *Leptoseris* dataset. Figure S4. Genealogical concordance for the *Agaricia* dataset. Figure S5. Evolutionary relationships of mesophotic *Leptoseris* and *Agaricia* species. Figure S6. De novo clustering and ordination methods to assess genetic structure within the genus *Leptoseris* and *Agaricia*. Figure S7. Comparison of maximum-likelihood based phylogenies of Australian and Hawaiian *Leptoseris* specimens. Figure S8. Comparison of maximum-likelihood based phylogenies of *Agaricia* species delimitation across the *Leptoseris* genus. Figure S10. Species delimitation across the *Agaricia* genus.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank for logistical support: CARMABI Research Station, Substation Curaçao, CIEE Bonaire Research Station, STINAPA Bonaire, CORALINA, PNN "Corales de Profundidad", Hawaii Undersea Research Laboratory (HURL), Reef Connections, Mike Ball Dive Expeditions, SY Ethereal, and Waitt Foundation.

#### Authors' contributions

NE and PB conceived and designed the study. NE, PB, PM, JAS, FLGZ, SEK, KRWL, and GE conducted specimen collections. PB, NE, MP, and ZDT conducted the taxonomical identifications. NE, RB, KRWL, and VZR conducted DNA extractions and sequenced barcode genes. JCG conducted the preliminary RADSeq analysis, and all phylogenetic and species delimitation analyses, and created the figures. JCG, PB, KEP, and SJJ drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was funded by the XL Catlin Seaview Survey (funded by the XL Catlin Group in partnership with Underwater Earth and The University of Queensland), "The Explorers Club—Eddie Bauer Grant for Expeditions", an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (DE160101433), and the Hope for Reefs Initiative at the California Academy of Sciences.

#### Availability of data and materials

Raw sequence data for reduced-representation (nextRAD) sequencing are available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under BioProject PRJNA970738 [110].

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 22 September 2022 Accepted: 23 May 2023 Published online: 26 June 2023

#### References

- Pyle RL, Copus JM. Mesophotic coral ecosystems: introduction and overview. In: Loya Y, Puglise K, Bridge T, editors. Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems. Coral Reefs of the World 2019;12: 3–27 https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-3-319-92735-0\_1
- Bongaerts P, Ridgway T, Sampayo EM, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Assessing the 'deep reef refugia' hypothesis: focus on Caribbean reefs. Coral Reefs. 2010;29:309–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-009-0581-x.
- Bongaerts P, Riginos C, Brunner R, Englebert N, Smith SR, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Deep reefs are not universal refuges: reseeding potential varies among coral species. Sci Adv. 2017;3(2):e1602373. https://doi.org/10. 1126/sciadv.1602373.
- Bongaerts P, Riginos C, Brunner R, Englebert N, Smith SR, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Vertical connectivity assessment of two coral species in Bermuda. 2017. BioProject: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/? term=PRJNA361144.
- Holstein DM, Smith TB, Gyory J, Paris CB. Fertile fathoms: Deep reproductive refugia for threatened shallow corals. Sci Rep. 2015;5:12407. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12407.
- Holstein DM, Paris CB, Vaz AC, Smith TB. Modeling vertical coral connectivity and mesophotic refugia. Coral Reefs. 2015;35:23–37. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00338-015-1339-2.
- Pyle RL. Assessing undiscovered fish biodiversity on deep coral reefs using advanced self-contained diving technology. Mar Technol Soc J. 2000;34:82–91. https://doi.org/10.4031/mtsj.34.4.11.
- Rocha LA, Pinheiro HT, Shepherd B, Papastamatiou YP, Luiz OJ, Pyle RL, Bongaerts P. Mesophotic coral ecosystems are threatened and ecologically distinct from shallow water reefs. Science. 2018;361:281–4. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.aaq1614.
- Eyal G, Pinheiro HT. Mesophotic ecosystems: The link between shallow and deep-sea habitats. Divers. 2020;12:411. https://doi.org/10.3390/ d12110411.
- Pinheiro HT, Eyal G, Shepherd B, Rocha LA. Ecological insights from environmental disturbances in mesophotic coral ecosystems. Ecosphere. 2019;10:e02666. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2666.
- Lesser MP, Slattery M, Laverick JH, Macartney KJ, Bridge TC. Global community breaks at 60 m on mesophotic coral reefs. Global Ecol Biogeogr. 2019;28:1403–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12940.
- Bongaerts P, Frade PR, Hay KB, Englebert N, Latijnhouwers KRW, Bak RPM, Vermeij MJA, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Deep down on a Caribbean reef: lower mesophotic depths harbor a specialized coral-endosymbiont community. Sci Rep. 2015;5:7652. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07652.
- Bongaerts P, Frade PR, Hay KB, Englebert N, Latijnhouwers KRW, Bak RPM, Vermeij MJA, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Deep down on a Caribbean reef: lower mesophotic depths harbor a specialized coral-endosymbiont community. 2015. GenBank https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/? term=KP178901:KP178912[pacc].
- Kahng S, Hochberg E, Apprill A, Wagner D, Luck D, Perez D, Bidigare R. Efficient light harvesting in deep-water zooxanthellate corals. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2012;455:65–77. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09657.
- Pochon X, Forsman ZH, Spalding HL, Padilla-Gamiño JL, Smith CM, Gates RD. Depth specialization in mesophotic corals (Leptoseris spp.) and associated algal symbionts in Hawai'i. R Soc Open Sci. 2015;2:140351. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140351.
- Englebert N, Bongaerts P, Muir PR, Hay KB, Pichon M, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Lower mesophotic coral communities (60–125 m Depth) of the northern Great Barrier Reef and Coral Sea. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e0170336. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170336.
- Muir PR, Wallace CC, Pichon M, Bongaerts P. High species richness and lineage diversity of reef corals in the mesophotic zone. Proc R Soc B. 2018;285:20181987. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1987.
- Muir PR, Pichon M. Biodiversity of reef-Building, scleractinian corals. In Loya Y, Puglise KA, Bridge T, editors. Mesophotic coral ecosystems. Corals Reefs of the World 2019; 12: 589–620 https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-319-92735-0 33
- Luck DG, Forsman ZH, Toonen RJ, Leicht SJ, Kahng SE. Polyphyly and hidden species among Hawai'i's dominant mesophotic coral genera, *Leptoseris* and *Pavona* (Scleractinia: Agariciidae). PeerJ. 2013;1:e132. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.132.
- Dinesen ZD. A revision of the coral genus *Leptoseris* (Scleractinia: Fungiina: Agariciidae). Mem Queensl Mus. 1980;20:181–235.

- van Oppen MJH, McDonald BJ, Willis B, Miller DJ. The evolutionary history of the coral genus *Acropora* (Scleractinia, Cnidaria) based on a mitochondrial and a nuclear marker: reticulation, incomplete lineage sorting, or morphological convergence? Mol Biol Evol. 2001;18:1315– 29. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a003916.
- Forsman ZH, Barshis DJ, Hunter CL, Toonen RJ. Shape-shifting corals: Molecular markers show morphology is evolutionarily plastic in Porites. BMC Evol Biol. 2009;9:45. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-45.
- 23. Vollmer SV, Palumbi SR. Testing the utility of internally transcribed spacer sequences in coral phylogenetics. Mol Ecol. 2004;13:2763–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2004.02265.x.
- McFadden CS, Sanchez JA, France SC. Molecular phylogenetic insights into the evolution of Octocorallia: a review. Integr Comp Biol. 2010;50:389–410. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icq056.
- Kühlmann DHH. Composition and ecology of deep-water coral associations. Helgolander Meeresunters. 1983;36:183–204. https://doi.org/10. 1007/bf01983856.
- Kahng SE, Garcia-Sais JR, Spalding HL, Brokovich E, Wagner D, Weil E, Hinderstein L, Toonen RJ. Community ecology of mesophotic coral reef ecosystems. Coral Reefs. 2010;29:255–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00338-010-0593-6.
- Kramer N, Tamir R, Ben-Zvi O, Jacques SL, Loya Y, Wangpraseurt D. Efficient light-harvesting of mesophotic corals is facilitated by coral optical traits. Funct Ecol. 2021;36:406–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435. 13948.
- Kramer N, Tamir R, Eyal G, Loya Y. Coral morphology portrays the spatial distribution and population size-structure along a 5–100 m depth gradient. Front Mar Sci. 2020;7:615. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020. 00615.
- Bongaerts P, et al. Sharing the slope: depth partitioning of agariciid corals and associated *Symbiodinium* across shallow and mesophotic habitats (2–60 m) on a Caribbean reef. BMC Evol Biol. 2013;13:205. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-205.
- Edinger EN, Risk MJ. Preferential survivorship of brooding corals in a regional extinction. Paleobiology. 1995;21:200–19. https://doi.org/10. 1017/s0094837300013208.
- Rouzé H, et al. Symbiotic associations of the deepest recorded photosynthetic scleractinian coral (172 m depth). ISME J. 2021;15:1564–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00857-y.
- Englebert N, Bongaerts P, Muir P, Hay KB, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Deepest zooxanthellate corals of the Great Barrier Reef and Coral Sea. Mar Biodiv. 2014;45:1–2. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-014-0221-8.
- 33. Vaughan TW. Recent Madreporaria of the Hawaiian Islands and Laysan. Atoll Res Bull. 1907;59:1–427.
- Veron JEN, Pichon M. Scleractinia of Eastern Australia, part III: Families Agariciidae, Siderastreidae, Fungiidae, Oculinidae, Merulinidae, Mussidae, Pectiniidae, Caryophylliidae. Dendrophylliidae Aust Inst Mar Sci. 1979;4:40–2.
- Wells JW. Bikini and nearby atols: 2. Oceanography (biologic). Recent corals of the Marshall Islands. Geol Surv Prof Paper. 1954;260(1):94–185.
- Terraneo TI, Arrigoni R, Benzoni F, Tietbohl MD, Berumen ML. Exploring the genetic diversity of shallow-water Agariciidae (Cnidaria: Anthozoa) from the Saudi Arabian Red Sea. Mar Biodiv. 2017;47:1065–78. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12526-017-0722-3.
- Eyal G, Tamir R, Kramer N, Eyal-Shaham L, Loya Y. The Red Sea: Israel. In Loya Y, Puglise KA, Bridge T, editors. Mesophotic coral ecosystems. Corals Reefs of the World. 2019; 12:199–214 https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-319-92735-0\_11
- Van Moorsel G. Reproductive strategies in two closely related stony corals (*Agaricia*, Scleractinia). Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1983;13:273–83. https:// doi.org/10.3354/meps013273.
- Gonzalez-Zapata FL, Bongaerts P, Ramírez-Portilla C, Adu-Oppong B, Walljasper G, Reyes A, Sanchez JA. Holobiont diversity in a reef-building coral over its entire depth range in the mesophotic zone. Front Mar Sci. 2018;5:29. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00029.
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J. Mémoire sur les Polypes appartenant à la famille des Oculinides, au groupe intermédiaire des Pseudastréides et à la famille des Fongides. C R Hebd Seances Acad Sci. 1849;29:67–73.
- Zoophytes WC. United States Exploring Expedition during the years 1838–1842. Lea and Blanchard, Philadelphia. 1845;7:1–740. https://doi. org/10.5962/bhl.title.69333.

- Wells JW. New and old scleractinian corals from Jamaica. Bull Mar Sci. 1973;23:16–58.
- Ellis J, Solander D. The Natural History of many curious and uncommon Zoophytes, collected from various parts of the Globe. 1786. p. 1–206. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.64985.
- Mazzei EF, et al. Newly discovered reefs in the southern Abrolhos Bank, Brazil: Anthropogenic impacts and urgent conservation needs. Mar Pollut Bull. 2017;114:123–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016. 08.059.
- Reed JK. Deepest distribution of Atlantic hermatypic corals discovered in the Bahamas. In Proc 5th Int Coral Reef Symp 1985; 6: 249–254
- Verrill AE. Variations and nomenclature of Bermudian, West Indian and Brazilian reef corals, with notes on various Indo-Pacific corals. Trans Conn Acad Arts Sci. 1901;11:63–168.
- Linnaeus C. Systema Naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. 1758; 1: 824
- Shearer TL, van Oppen MJH, Romano SL, Wörheide G. Slow mitochondrial DNA sequence evolution in the Anthozoa (Cnidaria). Mol Ecol. 2002;11:2475–87. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2002.01652.x.
- Terraneo TI, Benzoni F, Arrigoni R, Berumen ML. Species delimitation in the coral genus *Goniopora* (Scleractinia, Poritidae) from the Saudi Arabian Red Sea. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2016;102:278–94. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.06.003.
- Herrera S, Shank TM. 2016 RAD sequencing enables unprecedented phylogenetic resolution and objective species delimitation in recalcitrant divergent taxa. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2016;100:70–9. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.03.010.
- Rosser NL, Thomas L, Stankowski S, Richards ZT, Kennington WJ, Johnson MS. Phylogenomics provides new insight into evolutionary relationships and genealogical discordance in the reef-building coral genus *Acropora*. Proc R Soc B. 2017;284:2016–182. https://doi.org/10. 1098/rspb.2016.2182.
- Quattrini AM, Wu T, Soong K, Jeng M-S, Benayahu Y, McFadden CS. A next generation approach to species delimitation reveals the role of hybridization in a cryptic species complex of corals. BMC Evol Biol. 2019;19:116. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-019-1427-y.
- Cunha RL, Forsman ZH, Belderok R, Knapp ISS, Castilho R, Toonen RJ. Rare coral under the genomic microscope: timing and relationships among Hawaiian *Montipora*. BMC Evol Biol. 2019;19:153. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12862-019-1476-2.
- Arrigoni R, et al. Towards a rigorous species delimitation framework for scleractinian corals based on RAD sequencing: the case study of *Leptastrea* from the Indo-Pacific. Coral Reefs. 2020;39:1001–25. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00338-020-01924-8.
- Prata KE, Riginos C, Gutenkunst RN, Latijnhouwers KRW, Sánchez JA, Englebert N, Hay KB, Bongaerts P. Deep connections: Divergence histories with gene flow in mesophotic *Agaricia* corals. Mol Ecol. 2022;31:2511–27. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16391.
- Hammerman NM, Rivera-Vicens RE, Galaska MP, Weil E, Appledoorn RS, Alfaro M, Schizas NV. Population connectivity of the plating coral *Agaricia lamarcki* from southwest Puerto Rico. Coral Reefs. 2017;37:183–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-017-1646-x.
- Gonzalez-Zapata FL, Bongaerts P, Ramírez-Portilla C, Adu-Oppong B, Walljasper G, Reyes A, Sanchez JA. Genetic diversity of *Agaricia undata* in Colombia. 2018. BioProject: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biopr oject/?term=PRJNA385083.
- Prata KE, Riginos C, Gutenkunst RN, Latijnhouwers KRW, Sánchez JA, Englebert N, Hay KB, Bongaerts P. Reduced representation (nextRAD) sequences for Agaricia grahamae and A. lamarcki from the Southern Caribbean. 2022. BioProject: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/? term=PRJNA801008.
- Bongaerts P, Cooke IR, Ying H, Wels D, den Haan S, Hernandez-Agreda A, Brunner CA, Dove S, Englebert N, Eyal G, Forêt S, Grinblat M, Hay KB, Harii S, Hayward DC, Lin Y, Mihaljević M, Moya A, Muir P, Sinniger F, Smallhorn-West P, Torda G, Ragan MA, van Oppen MJH, Hoegh-Guldberg O. Reduced representation (nextRAD) sequencing of *Pachyseris speciosa* s.l. colonies. 2021. BioProject: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ bioproject/?term=PRJNA701715.

- Bongaerts P, et al. Morphological stasis masks ecologically divergent coral species on tropical reefs. Curr Biol. 2021;31:2286-2298.e8. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.03.028.
- Russello MA, Waterhouse MD, Etter PD, Johnson EA. From promise to practice: pairing non-invasive sampling with genomics in conservation. PeerJ. 2015;3:e1106. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1106.
- 62. Eaton DAR, Overcast I. Ipyrad: Interactive assembly and analysis of RADseq datasets. Bioinformatics. 2020;36:2592–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btz966.
- Aranda M, et al. Genomes of coral dinoflagellate symbionts highlight evolutionary adaptations conducive to a symbiotic lifestyle. Sci Rep. 2016;6:39734. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39734.
- Shoguchi E, Tanaka M, Shinzato C, Kawashima T, Satoh N. A genomewide survey of photoreceptor and circadian genes in the coral. Acropora digitifera Gene. 2013;515:426–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gene.2012.12.038.
- Liu H, et al. Symbiodinium genomes reveal adaptive evolution of functions related to coral-dinoflagellate symbiosis. Commun Biol. 2018;1:95. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-018-0098-3.
- Dougan KE, et al. Whole-genome duplication in an algal symbiont serendipitously confers thermal tolerance to corals. Preprint at *bioRx-iv*https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.10.487810
- Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A. RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. Bioinformatics. 2019;35:4453–5. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/btz305.
- Darriba D, Posada D, Kozlov AM, Stamatakis A, Morel B, Flouri T. ModelTest-NG: A new and scalable tool for the selection of DNA and protein evolutionary models. Mol Biol Evol. 2019;37:291–4. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz189.
- Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R. IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37:1530–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015.
- Minh BQ, Hahn MW, Lanfear R. New methods to calculate concordance factors for phylogenomic datasets. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37:2727– 33. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa106.
- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods. 2017;14:587–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth. 4285.
- Chifman J, Kubatko L. Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:3317–24. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu530.
- Jombart T, Devillard S, Balloux F. Discriminant analysis of principal components: a new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. BMC Genet. 2010;11:94. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2156-11-94.
- Jombart T. Adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. Bioinformatics. 2008;24:1403–5. https://doi.org/10. 1093/bioinformatics/btn129.
- Sukumaran J, Holder MT, Knowles LL. Incorporating the speciation process into species delimitation. PLoS Comput Biol. 2021;17(5):e1008924. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008924.
- Flouri T, Jiao X, Rannala B, Yang Z. Species tree inference with BPP using genomic sequences and the multispecies coalescent. Mol Biol Evol. 2018;35(10):2585–93. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy147.
- Ogilvie HA, Bouckaert RR, Drummond AJ. StarBEAST2 brings faster species tree inference and accurate estimates of substitution rates. Mol Biol Evol. 2017;34(8):2101–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msx126.
- Terraneo TI, Berumen ML, Arrigoni R, Waheed Z, Bouwmeester J, Caragnano A, Stefani F, Benzoni F. *Pachyseris inattesa* sp. n. (Cnidaria, Anthozoa, Scleractinia): a new reef coral species from the Red Sea and its phylogenetic relationships. ZK 2014; 433: 1–30 https://doi.org/10. 3897/zookeys.433.8036
- Luck DG, Forsman ZH, Toonen RJ, Leicht SJ, Kahng SE. Polyphyly and hidden species among Hawai'i's dominant mesophotic coral genera, *Leptoseris* and *Pavona* (Scleractinia: Agariciidae). 2013. GenBank https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/?linkname=pubmed\_nuccore&from\_ uid=24032091.

- Medina M, Collins AG, Takaoka TL, Kuehl JV, Boore JL. Naked corals: Skeleton loss in Scleractinia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006;103:9096–100. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602444103.
- Medina M, Collins AG, Takaoka TL, Kuehl JV, Boore JL. Agaricia humilis mitochondrion, complete genome. 2006. GenBank https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/DQ643831.1.
- Tamir R, Eyal G, Kramer N, Laverick JH, Loya Y. Light environment drives the shallow-to-mesophotic coral community transition. Ecosphere. 2019; 10 https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2839
- Prada C, Hellberg ME. Long prereproductive selection and divergence by depth in a Caribbean candelabrum coral. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110:3961–6. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208931110.
- Rippe JP, Dixon G, Fuller ZL, Liao Y, Matz M. Environmental specialization and cryptic genetic divergence in two massive coral species from the Florida Keys Reef Tract. Mol Ecol. 2021;30:3468–84. https://doi.org/10. 1111/mec.15931.
- Hoeksema BW, Cairns S. World List of Scleractinia. Leptoseris mycetoseroides Wells, 1954. https://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxde tails&id=207283. Accessed 10 Jun 2022
- Snell TL, Foltz DW, Sammarco PW. Variation in morphology vs conservation of a mitochondrial gene in *Montastraea cavernosa* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia). GOMS. 1998;16:2. https://doi.org/10.18785/goms.1602.08.
- 87. Fukami H, et al. Mitochondrial and nuclear genes suggest that stony corals are monophyletic but most families of stony corals are not (Order Scleractinia, Class Anthozoa, Phylum Cnidaria). PLoS ONE. 2008;3:e3222. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003222.
- Shearer TL, Coffroth MA. DNA Barcoding: Barcoding corals: limited by interspecific divergence, not intraspecific variation. Mol Ecol Resour. 2008;8:247–55. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01996.x.
- Stemann TA. Evolution of the reef-coral family Agariciidae (Anthozoa: Scleractinia) in the Neogene through recent of the Caribbean. Doctoral dissertation, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA. 1991.
- 90. Oken L. Lehrbuch der Naturgeschichte. Dritter Theil: Zoologie. Erste Abtheilung: Fleischlose Thiere. 1815–1816; 842
- Knowlton N, Weigt LA, Solórzano LA, Mills DK, Bermingham E. Divergence in proteins, mitochondrial DNA, and reproductive compatibility across the lsthmus of Panama. Science. 1993;260:1629–32. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.8503007.
- Sánchez JA, González-Zapata FL, Prada C, Dueñas LF. Mesophotic gorgonian corals evolved multiple times and faster than deep and shallow lineages. Diversity. 2021;13:650. https://doi.org/10.3390/d13120650.
- Knowlton N, Weil E, Weigt LA, Guzmán HM. Sibling species in *Montas-traea annularis*, coral bleaching, and the coral climate record. Science. 1992;255:330–3. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.255.5042.330.
- Carlon DB, Budd AF. Incipient speciation across a depth gradient in a scleractinian coral? Evolution (N Y). 2002;56:2227–42. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00147.x.
- Frade PR, Reyes-Nivia MC, Faria J, Kaandorp JA, Luttikhuizen PC, Bak RPM. Semi-permeable species boundaries in the coral genus *Madracis*: Introgression in a brooding coral system. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2010;57:1072–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.09.010.
- Bongaerts P, Riginos C, Hay KB, van Oppen MJ, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Dove S. Adaptive divergence in a scleractinian coral: Physiological adaptation of *Seriatopora hystrix* to shallow and deep reef habitats. BMC Evol Biol. 2011;11:303. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-303.
- Costantini F, Rossi S, Pintus E, Cerrano C, Gili J-M, Abbiati M. Low connectivity and declining genetic variability along a depth gradient in *Corallium rubrum* populations. Coral Reefs. 2011;30:991–1003. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0771-1.
- Bongaerts P, Dubé CE, Prata KE, Gijsbers JC, Achlatis M, Hernandez-Agreda A. Reefscape genomics: Leveraging advances in 3D imaging to assess fine-scale patterns of genomic variation on coral reefs. Front Mar Sci. 2021;8:638979. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.638979.
- 99. Veron, JEN. Corals of the world. 3rd ed. Australian Institute of Marine Science and CRR Qld Pty Ltd. Australia; 490; ISBN: 0642322384
- Spalding MD, et al. Marine ecoregions of the world: a bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. Bioscience. 2007;57:573–83. https://doi.org/ 10.1641/b570707.
- Mihaljević M. 2019 Oligocene-Miocene scleractinians from the central Indo-Pacific: Malaysian Borneo and the Philippines. Palaeontol Electron. 2019;22(3):1–55. https://doi.org/10.26879/978.

Page 15 of 15

- Budd AF, Stemann TA, Johnson KG. Stratigraphic distributions of genera and species of Neogene to recent Caribbean reef corals. J Paleontol. 1994;68:951–77. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022336000026585.
- Veron JEN. Corals in space and time: The biogeography and evolution of the Scleractinia. 1st ed. New York, NY: Cornell University Press; 1994.
- Lanier HC, Huang H, Knowles LL. How low can you go? The effects of mutation rate on the accuracy of species-tree estimation. Mol Phylogenet and Evol. 2014;70:112–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2013. 09.006.
- Liu L, Wu S, Yu L. Coalescent methods for estimating species trees from phylogenomic data. J Syt Evol. 2015;53:380–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jse.12160.
- Manthey JD, Campillo LC, Burns KJ, Moyle RG. Comparison of targetcapture and restriction-site associated DNA sequencing for phylogenomics: A test in Cardinalid Tanagers (Aves, Genus: *Piranga*). Syst Biol. 2016;65:640–50. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw005.
- 107. Hühn P, Dillenberger MS, Gerschwitz-Eidt M, Hörandl E, Los JA, Messerschmid TFE, Paetzold C, Rieger B, Kadereit G. How challenging RADseq data turned out to favor coalescent-based species tree inference. A case study in Aichryson (Crassulaceae). Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2022;167:107342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2021.107342.
- Harvey MG, Smith BT, Glenn TC, Faircloth BC, Brumfield RT. Sequence capture versus restriction site associated DNA sequencing for shallow systematics. Syst Biol. 2016;65:910–24. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/ syw036.
- Quattrini AM, et al. Universal target-enrichment baits for anthozoan (Cnidaria) phylogenomics: New approaches to long-standing problems. Mol Ecol Resour. 2018;18:281–95. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998. 12736.
- Reduced representation (nextRAD) sequences for several Agaricia and Leptoseris species from the Caribbean and Indo-Pacific. 2023. NCBI Bio-Project https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA970738.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

