# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Circulating cell-free DNA fragmentation is a stepwise and conserved process linked to apoptosis

Dandan Zhu<sup>1†</sup>, Haihong Wang<sup>2†</sup>, Wei Wu<sup>3</sup>, Shuaipeng Geng<sup>1</sup>, Guolin Zhong<sup>3</sup>, Yunfei Li<sup>3</sup>, Han Guo<sup>1</sup>, Guanghui Long<sup>4</sup>, Qingqi Ren<sup>4</sup>, Yi Luan<sup>5,6</sup>, Chaohui Duan<sup>5</sup>, Bing Wei<sup>7</sup>, Jie Ma<sup>7</sup>, Shiyong Li<sup>3</sup>, Jun Zhou<sup>2\*</sup> and Mao Mao<sup>3,8\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** Circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) is a pool of short DNA fragments mainly released from apoptotic hematopoietic cells. Nevertheless, the precise physiological process governing the DNA fragmentation and molecular profile of cfDNA remains obscure. To dissect the DNA fragmentation process, we use a human leukemia cell line HL60 undergoing apoptosis to analyze the size distribution of DNA fragments by shallow whole-genome sequencing (sWGS). Meanwhile, we also scrutinize the size profile of plasma cfDNA in 901 healthy human subjects and 38 dogs, as well as 438 patients with six common cancer types by sWGS.

**Results** Distinct size distribution profiles were observed in the HL60 cell pellet and supernatant, suggesting fragmentation is a stepwise process. Meanwhile, C-end preference was seen in both intracellular and extracellular cfDNA fragments. Moreover, the cfDNA profiles are characteristic and conserved across mammals. Compared with healthy subjects, distinct cfDNA profiles with a higher proportion of short fragments and lower C-end preference were found in cancer patients.

**Conclusions** Our study provides new insight into fragmentomics of circulating cfDNA processing, which will be useful for early diagnosis of cancer and surveillance during cancer progression.

Keywords Circulating cell-free DNA, Shallow whole-genome sequencing, Size profiling of cfDNA, End preference

<sup>†</sup>Dandan Zhu and Haihong Wang contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Jun Zhou zj10802@rjh.com.cn

Мао Мао

maomao@yuhs.ac

<sup>1</sup> Clinical Laboratories, Shenyou Bio, Zhengzhou 450000, China
<sup>2</sup> Shanghai Institute of Hematology, CNRS-LIA Hematology and Cancer, Sino-French Research Center for Life Sciences and Genomics, State Key Laboratory of Medical Genomics, Rui Jin Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China

<sup>3</sup> Research & Development, SeekIn Inc, Shenzhen 518000, China

<sup>4</sup> Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, Peking University Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen 518000, China

 $^5$  Clinical Laboratories, Sun Yat-Sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510000, China

© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomair/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>6</sup> School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai 201210, China
<sup>7</sup> Department of Molecular Pathology, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital

of Zhengzhou University and Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou 450003, China

<sup>8</sup> Yonsei Song-Dang Institute for Cancer Research, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, South Korea





# **Open Access**

## Background

Cell-free DNA (cfDNA) was initially discovered in human blood in the 1940s [1], and now, it has been detected in all body fluids [2]. Circulating cfDNA is known as fragments released mainly from apoptosis of normal hematopoietic cells. While the profile of circulating cfDNA in healthy individuals shows a modal size of 166 bp which bears correspondence to its association with nucleosome and linker histone H1, and a series of minor peaks exhibiting a 10-bp periodicity below 166 bp, tumor-derived DNA (circulating tumor DNA, ctDNA) tends to display a shorter modal size [3, 4]. In the last 20 years, cfDNA has been regarded as a novel source of various biological and pathological information such as pregnancy and cancer [5]. Although circulating cfDNA is now generally used as a non-invasive biomarker, the precise physiological process governing the DNA fragmentation and molecular profile of cfDNA remains obscure.

The works from Han et al. demonstrate that major nucleases including DNA fragmentation factor B (DFFB), DNASE1, and DNASE1L3 play important roles in the size profiling and base-end preference of circulating cfDNA fragmentation in mouse models [6–8]. In addition, it has been widely accepted that the fragmentation of cfDNA occurs in a non-random manner, which is associated with the positioning of nucleosomes and chromatin accessibility [9–13]. The fragmentation patterns observed in an individual's cfDNA might contain evidence of the epigenetic landscapes of the cells giving rise to these fragments [9–13].

Plasma cfDNA in healthy individuals is a pool of highly degraded DNA fragments (a predominant peak at 166 bp and a series of subpeaks with a 10-bp periodicity below 166 bp) primarily derived from apoptosis of hematopoietic cells [11, 14]. In the current study, we took advantage of a human hematopoietic cell line HL60 to demonstrate the tight link between apoptosis and cfDNA fragmentation. The results indicated that the process of cfDNA fragmentation took place successively inside and outside apoptotic HL60 cells which gave rise to four predominant peaks corresponding to the nucleosomal units, as well as a series of 10-bp periodic sub-nucleosomal fragments below 167 bp. In addition, C-end preference of cfDNA fragments was observed in both apoptotic HL60 cell pellet and supernatant. Moreover, we scrutinized the cfDNA fragmentation profiles in a large cohort of healthy human subjects and cancer patients and found prominent differences between them. Our findings also suggest fragmentation process is conserved across mammals. Overall, our findings provide new insights into fragmentomics of cfDNA processing and profiling, which will be useful for early diagnosis of cancer and surveillance during cancer progression.

### Results

## DNA fragmentation of apoptotic cells is a two-step process which gives rise to major and minor peaks at different places

DNA ladder formation is the most well-known characteristic of apoptosis. Since the 1990s, the HL60 cell line has been regarded as an ideal model for studying cell apoptosis [15]. We therefore took advantage of the HL60 line, which served as a model system linking DNA fragmentation formed inside apoptotic cells (i.e., DNA ladder) with cfDNA fragments in plasma, to dissect the biological process of cfDNA fragmentation. HL60 cells were induced to apoptosis with either different conditions including exposure to serum starvation (SS), high density (HD), room temperature (RT) [16], or with apoptotic inducer such as camptothecin (CPT) [17]. Similar apoptotic DNA ladders were found for each treatment (Fig. 1A), confirming chromatin fragmentation is a characteristic of apoptosis as the ladders were composed of one, two, three, four, and multiple nucleosomal units.

Since the experiments above showed that the exposure to RT gave rise to a significant apoptotic DNA ladder, we collected the HL60 cells cultured at RT at different time points. As cells underwent apoptosis, an increasingly prominent DNA fragmentation ladder was observed (Fig. 1B). To further demonstrate the effects on apoptosis, May-Grunwald-Giemsa (MGG) staining and TdT-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) were performed. The time course analyses showed that an increasing proportion of cells became TUNEL-positive and exhibited typical apoptotic features such as intact cell membrane, pyknosis, and nuclear fragmentation (Fig. 1C, D).

Based on the observations above, shallow wholegenome sequencing (sWGS,  $1 \times \text{coverage}$ ) was carried out to reveal the fragment size distribution of apoptotic HL60 cells with high resolution. The results indicated that the size distribution spread around the predominant peaks at ~ 167 bp, ~ 360 bp, ~ 540 bp, and ~ 720 bp (Fig. 2A), which was corresponding to the nucleosomal units (mono, di, tri, tetra). Nevertheless, the 10-bp periodic sub-nucleosomal fragments below 167 bp which are observed in plasma could not be found (Fig. 2A). Such phenomena imply that the linker region between core nucleosomes, rather than the DNA wrapping around the nucleosome core, is cut by the nucleases inside the apoptotic cells.

Next, to unravel the origin of the ~10-bp periodicity, we examined the size distribution of DNA fragments in the supernatant of HL60 cells. As expected, sWGS analysis displayed a series of peaks with a clear 10-bp periodicity (Fig. 2B), suggesting that the nucleosomes released from the apoptotic HL60 cells could be further digested with DNases. Since the serum added in



**Fig. 1** DNA fragmentation is tightly linked with cell apoptosis. **A** Electrophoresis analysis of the typical apoptotic DNA ladder extracted from HL60 cells cultured in different conditions including serum starvation (SS) for 72 h, high density (HD) for 72 h, room temperature (RT) for 14 h, and camptothecin (CPT) treatment for 5 h. Marker: 100 bp, 250 bp, 500 bp, 750 bp, 1000 bp, 2000 bp. 1.0% agarose gel. **B** Electrophoresis analysis of the apoptotic DNA ladder extracted from HL60 cells cultured at RT for 18 h, 24 h, 36 h, and 48 h. **C**, **D** May-Grunwald Giemsa (MGG) cell morphology and TdT-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) cell apoptosis analyses of control and HL60 cells cultured at RT for 18 h, 24 h, 36 h, and 48 h

the culture medium had been heat-inactivated, the active DNases in the supernatant should be produced by HL60 cells and secreted into the extracellular milieu.

To further illustrate that the 10-bp periodicity was generated from nucleosomes, the pellet of apoptotic HL60 cells (which were cultured at RT for 24 h to induce apoptosis in advance) was lysed to release the nucleosomes therein, then the lysate was subjected to a treatment with DNASE1. As anticipated, sWGS analysis revealed a similar 10-bp periodicity (Fig. 2C).

Finally, to replicate the findings from HL60 cells in other cell types, particularly non-cancerous cells, GM12878 and NIH3T3 cell lines were used. Unfortunately, although GM12878 cells were killed with diverse stimuli, a typical apoptotic DNA ladder could not be produced (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). By contrast, NIH3T3 cells were induced to apoptosis with  $H_2O_2$  treatment (Additional file 2: Fig. S2A). As expected, similar DNA fragmentation profiles as observed inside and outside HL60 cells were revealed by sWGS analysis as well (Additional file 2: Fig. S2B).

Combining the data above, we could draw the conclusion that cfDNA fragmentation is a two-step process involving intracellular and extracellular digestions which eventually gives rise to major and minor cfDNA peaks (Fig. 2D).

# C-end preference is observed in cfDNA fragments in supernatant and within apoptotic HL60 cells

DFFB, DNASE1, and DNASE1L3 are three major endonucleases that contribute to the size profile of circulating cfDNA [18–20]. While DFFB is responsible for the oligonucleosomal fragmentation of chromatin inside apoptotic cells, DNASE1 and DNASE1L3 account for further digestion of the cfDNA fragments in circulation.

Typical C-end preference is found in circulating cfDNA in plasma. To clarify whether this typical cfDNA profile was generated "as is" from apoptotic cells or produced through further digestion in plasma, Han et al. investigated the newly released cfDNA from apoptotic leukocytes in the presence of the anticoagulant EDTA inhibiting the activity of plasma DNASE1 and DNA-SE1L3 [6]. After incubation of whole blood at RT for 6 h to induce cell death, they found the C-end predominance in typical cfDNA was greatly diminished while A-end preference was increased in the newly released cfDNA [6]. In combination with the results from several DNASEdeficient mice, they concluded that the newly released cfDNA fragments are A-end enriched, which are produced with DFFB (Prefers A > G > > C&T), DNASE1L3, and other intracellular enzymes. DNASE1L3 (Prefers C > T > A & G) further digests these fragments in plasma, and gives rise to the typical profile with C-end predominance in cfDNA [6].



**Fig. 2** cfDNA fragmentation is a two-step process involving successive intracellular and extracellular digestion. **A** DNA size distribution profiles revealed by sWGS in pellets from HL60 cells exposed to RT for 24 h, 36 h, and 48 h. Bottom panels are enlarged views of the boxed areas in the top panels. **B** DNA size distribution profile in the supernatant of HL60 cells, which were exposed to RT for 24 h. **C** DNA size distribution profiles in the lysate of HL60 cells, which were exposed to RT for 24 h to induce apoptosis in advance, then treated with DNASE1. **D** Model of the stepwise cfDNA fragmentation process

Although inactivation of DNASE1L3 caused a significant reduction of C-end preference in the newly released cfDNA, the proportion of C-end fragments remained predominant [6]. It is worth noting that the expression of *DNASE1L3* is absent in HL60 cells (Human Protein Atlas database, and Additional file 3: Fig. S3), and C-end predominance of the 5' end of DNA fragments was found in both HL60 cell pellet and supernatant (Fig. 3A, B).

Collectively, these findings indicate that even in the absence of DNASE1L3, C-end preference exists inside and outside apoptotic HL60 cells.

# Plasma cfDNA profile is characteristic and conserved across mammals

The sWGS analysis enabled us to interrogate the size distributions of cfDNA in the human individuals as well. We examined the plasma samples from 901 healthy human individuals and found that cfDNA fragments displayed a distribution with a predominant peak size of 167 bp (85.0%) (Fig. 4A, C). Meanwhile, we also observed three additional peaks at 333 bp (12.1%), 527 bp (2.3%), and 719 bp (0.4%), respectively (Fig. 4A, C). The four peaks are representing mono-, di-, tri-, and tetra-nucleosomes



**Fig. 3** Base content proportions at the 5' end of cfDNA fragments in RT induced apoptotic HL60 cell pellet and supernatant. **A** Human genome is composed of 29.5% A, 29.6% T, 20.4% C, and 20.5% G. The proportion of fragments with A-, T-, C-, and G-end in HL60 cell pellet is 24.9%, 24.0%, 31.8%, and 19.3%. The proportion of C-end fragments is significantly increased. The fractions of the first allele were indicated. **B** C-end preference is also observed in HL60 supernatant. The proportion of fragments with A-, T-, C-, and G-end is 21.9%, 26.9%, 29.8%, and 21.4%. The fractions of the 1st allele were indicated

corresponding to what we observed from the apoptotic cells above.

In addition to the four major peaks, distinct fragmentation features including intensified amplitudes of approximately 10-bp oscillations in the 50 to 153 bp size range with periodic peaks and troughs were simultaneously observed (Fig. 4B). A quantitative proxy was established to demonstrate that the amplitudes of the ten most distinguishable periodic subpeaks were ~ 50, 60, 70, 81, 91, 102, 111, 122, 134, and 153 bp, which was nearly identical to the positions of the minor peaks found in HL60 supernatant (~ 50, 60, 70, 81, 91, 101, 111, 122, 133, 141, and 150 bp), except for ~ 144–146 bp was a plateau (Fig. 4B).

Moreover, we analyzed the base content proportions at the 5' end motifs of plasma cfDNA fragments. An overall C predominance was found at the first and the second base of the 5' ends of the cfDNA fragments (Fig. 4D), which is in line with the findings in mice [5], and the preferred C-end was found across all the fragments in the first major peak (50–250 bp) (Fig. 4E).

To further demonstrate that the cfDNA fragmentation process is conserved across mammals, 38 samples from the plasma of healthy dogs were analyzed. cfDNA distribution profile showed that the minor peaks were ~ 50, 60, 70, 81, 92, 102, 112, 122, 133, 144, and 153 bp, which was in line with the 10-bp sub-nucleosomal periodicity observed in humans (Fig. 4F, G). Yet, the dominant 167 bp peak was replaced by an additional peak at 163 bp (Fig. 4F, G), which would be caused by a stronger activity of DNases in canine plasma. Meanwhile, three additional major peaks at 362 bp (17.2%), 547 bp (6.9%), and 728 bp (1.7%) were observed (Fig. 4F, H). Besides, it is worth noting that although the base composition in the dog genome is different with that in humans, a similar C predominance at the first and the second base of the 5' ends of the cfDNA fragments was also revealed (Fig. 4I, J).

Combining our observations with the fact that a similar cfDNA fragmentation profile with a major peak and a series of minor peaks in murine plasma [6], it seems that the circulating cfDNA profile is characteristic and conserved across mammals.

# The characteristics of cfDNA fragmentation in cancer patients are distinct with healthy individuals

Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) is now generally used as a non-invasive biomarker to detect cancer [21-26]. To display the ctDNA profile in cancer patients, we collected plasma samples from 438 patients with six different types of cancer (breast 67, colorectum 54, liver 128, lung 39, lymphoma 98, stomach 52). Compared with the healthy human individuals, a generally higher content of plasma cfDNA was detected (Fig. 5A). Meanwhile, distinct cfDNA profiles were revealed by sWGS analysis. To better display the distinction of cfDNA profiles in cancer and normal plasmas (Fig. 5B), we carried out a set of indepth analyses. A quantitative proxy was established to estimate the amplitudes of the eleven most distinguishable periodic peaks (~ 50, 60, 70, 81, 91, 102, 111, 122, 134, 144, and 153 bp) individually: the percentage of sequencing reads falling into the peaking size ± one bp range against total fragment reads from the samples. As shown in Fig. 5C and D, except for the peak at  $\sim$  50 bp, the percentage of reads from all of the other peaks was significantly higher in the cancer group compared with the healthy group.



**Fig. 4** Characteristics of plasma cfDNA fragmentation in 901 healthy human and 38 dog individuals. **A** The size distribution of cfDNA was inferred by paired-end sequencing of plasma samples from 901 healthy human subjects. The predominant peaks at 167 bp, and three additional peaks at 333 bp, 527 bp, and 719 bp were marked. **B** The enlarged view of the boxed areas in panel **A**, indicating the ten most distinguishable periodic subpeaks around 50, 60, 70, 81, 91, 102, 111, 122, 134, and 153 bp. **C** Proportions of the fragments representing mono-, di-, tri-, and tetra-nucleosomes are 85.0%, 12.1%, 2.3%, and 0.4%, respectively. **D** Human genome is composed of 29.5% A, 29.6% T, 20.4% C, and 20.5% G (reference). The proportion of fragments with 5' A-, T-, C-, and G-ends in circulating cfDNA is 18.8%, 23.3%, 35.9%, and 22.0% in average in 901 healthy human individuals (Position 1). **E** C-end preference is found in all fragment sizes (50–250 bp) in 901 healthy human individuals. **F** The size distribution of cfDNA was inferred by paired-end sequencing of plasma samples from 38 healthy dogs. The predominant peaks at 163 bp, and three additional peaks at 362 bp, 547 bp, and 728 bp were marked. **G** The enlarged view of the boxed areas in panel **F**, indicating the ten most distinguishable periodic subpeaks around 50, 60, 70, 81, 92, 102, 112, 122, 133, 144, and 153 bp. **H** Proportions of the fragments representing mono-, di-, tri-, and tetra-nucleosomes are 74.2%, 17.2%, 6.9%, and 1.7%, respectively. **I** Dog genome is composed of 29.5% A, 20.5% T, 29.5% C, and 20.5% G (reference). The proportion of fragments with 5' A-, T-, C-, and G-ends in circulating cfDNA is 23.7%, 23.3%, 30.3%, and 22.7% in average in 38 healthy dogs (Position 1). **J** C-end preference is in all fragment sizes (50–250 bp) in 38 healthy dogs

Jiang et al. found that the abundance of cfDNA fragments with CCCA motif (the most frequent motif in plasma cfDNA of healthy human individuals) was much lower in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients than in the subjects without HCC [21]. This kind of aberrant end motifs was also observed in patients with other types of cancer such as lung cancer, colorectal cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, etc. [22, 27]. In concert with these results, we found the cfDNA fragments with C-end were also significantly decreased in patients with the six cancer types (Fig. 5E).

Based on these observations, one can conclude the cfDNA profiles in the healthy individuals and the patients with six types of cancer are quite different. Overall, our

findings provide new insights into fragmentomics of cfDNA processing and profiling, which will be useful for early diagnosis of cancer and surveillance during cancer progression.

### Discussion

From the current work on cfDNA profiles in human leukemic cell line HL60 and mouse nontumoral fibroblast cell line NIH3T3, we can piece out a model that outlines the cfDNA fragmentation process. cfDNA fragmentation is a stepwise process which takes place successively inside and outside the apoptotic cells. The peaks representing the nucleosomal units observed in the apoptotic cells suggest the cleavage is inter-nucleosomal. The 10-bp



**Fig. 5** Distinct characteristics in cancer patients. **A** Concentration of cfDNA in plasma in 438 cancer patients and 901 healthy individuals. **B** The size distribution of cfDNA was inferred by paired-end sequencing of plasma samples from cancer patients and healthy individuals. **C** Scatter plot of the ratio of P50, P60, P70, P81, P91, P102, P111, P122, P134, P144, and P153 from cancer patients and healthy individuals. **n**: not significant, \*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*P < 0.0001 (*U*-test). **D** Line plots show the ratio of reads falling into each peak ± 1 bp ranges of eleven periodic peaks (50, 60, 70, 81, 91, 102, 111, 122, 134, 144, and 153 bp) from cancer patients and healthy individuals. **E** Violin plots of the percentage of the cfDNA fragments of different sizes with C-end in cancer patients and healthy subjects. Statistical significance of the decreased C-end preference in cancer patients was evaluated by paired *t*-test (\*\*\*p < 0.001)

periodicity found in the supernatant suggests the digestion is intra-nucleosomal, which should be accomplished by the DNases secreted from cells as the serum added in the culture medium has been heat-inactivated.

In a previous study, the bi-potential HL-60/S4 cell line was induced to differentiate toward neutrophil or macrophage lineage upon treatment with RA or TPA [28].

The authors performed ChIP-seq analyses by histone H3 and its modifications and found a genome-wide change of nucleosome occupancies as HL-60/S4 cells differentiate. Based on these observations, a conclusion was drawn that chromatin changes during HL-60/S4 differentiation appeared to be more localized to regulatory regions such as promoters and enhancers. Although cfDNA sequences

may reflect chromatin features at the gene-regulation level, the results obtained from this study are not comparable to those from our work due to different experimental strategies (ChIP-seq with H3 vs sWGS).

The observations from Han et al. reveal that plasma cfDNA is generated first with intra-cellular DFFB and DNASE1L3 during apoptosis, then cfDNA molecules that have escaped from phagocytosis are subsequently digested by circulating DNASE1L3 and DNASE1 in mouse models [6]. The 10-bp periodicity in the short circulating cfDNA fragments can be seen among all types of nuclease knockout mice  $(Dffb^{-/-}, Dnase1l3^{-/-}, and Dnase1^{-/-})$ , implying a single particular endonucle-ase would not be responsible for the sub-nucleosomal cleavage.

In the Human Protein Atlas database (https://www. proteinatlas.org) it is shown that major endonucleases such as DFFB and DNASE1 are expressed in HL60 cells. Considering the fact that the 10-bp periodicity can only be found in supernatant, our findings suggest that although these endonucleases exist within HL60 cells, they do not play a role in DNA fragmentation at the intranucleosomal level. Perhaps the inter-nuclesomal cleavage to rapidly digest a large of amount of chromatin, rather than degrade the DNA fragments into smaller ones to prevent autoimmunity, is the priority during apoptosis.

Han et al. also demonstrated that each nuclease has its own preference for the end of fragment, and C-end preponderance is revealed in typical circulating cfDNA in mice [6]. Consistently, our results show that C-end is predominant in both healthy human and dog individuals. Moreover, such preference is also observed in HL60 cells with different treatments such as CPT and serum starvation (data not shown).

Overall, we analyze the DNA fragmentation profile of a large cohort of healthy human donors in the present work. To our knowledge, the sample size is largest in the existing literature [21–27, 29–33]. In addition, we also analyzed plasma cfDNA in 38 healthy dogs. Note that although the composition of the genome is quite distinct in humans, mice [6], and dogs, their cfDNA profiles and end preferences are nearly identical. Hence, the cfDNA fragmentation process would be conserved across mammals since the cleavage is based on nucleosome structure, which is highly conserved in the eukaryote landscape.

Furthermore, the cfDNA profile in the patients with six types of cancer displays a significant increase of fragments less than 167 bp, and a reduction with C-end preference, which is in line with our previous observations in HCC [4] and the work from the others [21, 22, 29]. Statistical analyses further indicate that the subpeaks are significantly higher in the cancer group compared with the healthy group. Although the shortening of cfDNA fragments and lowered C-end predominance have been adopted as two characteristics to distinguish cancer patients and normal individuals, the reason behind remains enigmatic.

In Dnase113 knockout mice, Serpas et al. demonstrated that the deficiency of Dnase113 can lead to an increase of short DNA molecules below 120 bp as well as long fragments above 250 bp (which are shown as reminiscent of nucleosomal units), and a remarkable reduction in the most common 4-mer end motifs CCNN in plasma cfDNA (the top six motifs are CCCA, CCTG, CCAG, CCAA, CCAT, and CCTC) [8]. Besides, Jiang et al. found that the transcription level of DNA-SE1L3 was dramatically decreased in tumor tissues compared with adjacent non-malignant liver tissues. Combining with the fact that DNASE1L3 is generally downregulated across multiple cancers (The Cancer Genome Atlas, TCGA database) [34], inactivation of DNASE1L3 is proposed to be the reason underlying the aberrant cfDNA profile in cancer patients.

In a recent work of An et al., cfDNA molecules of different DNA methylation levels showed drastically different sizes and end distributions. Actually, distinct cfDNA profiles with a higher proportion of short fragments and lower C-end preference were revealed by sWGS analysis in our patients with various cancer types. Given the facts that DNA methylation is known to be abnormal in all forms of cancer and DNASE1L3 is dramatically decreased in tumor tissues, a DNA methylation-nuclease preference-cutting end-size distribution axis would serve as a key regulator of cfDNA fragmentation [13].

In addition, psychosocial and physical stress conditions can also induce cfDNA release in healthy individuals [35]. Nevertheless, the functional role of such cfDNA release remains obscure. Hence, more investigations are needed to explore the mechanism of cfDNA formation under different conditions such as health, stress, pregnancy, and diseases in the future.

### Conclusions

We took advantage of the HL60 cell line as a model system to study cfDNA fragmentation. Based on this model a two-step hypothesis was proposed by distinct cfDNA fragmentation patterns inside and outside HL60 cells. We also compared cfDNA profiles in a large cohort of healthy human/dog individuals, and cancer patients, and observed more minor peaks and less fragments with C-end in the latter group. Our findings can be used as a reference for clinical applications.

### Methods

#### Cell culture, treatment, and preparation of cfDNA samples

Human promyelocytic leukemia HL60 cells were grown at 37 °C in the presence of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO, Life Technologies, Australia). The cell density was  $2-4 \times 10^{5/}$  ml. To expose cells to room temperature (RT), 5 ml of HL60 cells were placed at RT, and recovered for analysis at time indicated. To expose cells to high density, 10<sup>6</sup> HL60 cells were cultured in 1 ml medium. CPT (Sigma, Shanghai, China) was dissolved in DMSO and added in the medium, the final concentration was 10  $\mu$ M. Mouse NIH3T3 fibroblasts were grown at 37 °C in the presence of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO, Life Technologies, Australia), and induced to apoptosis with 1000  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 96 h.

Apoptotic DNA ladder from each sample was extracted with Apoptotic DNA Ladder Extraction Kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). Ten microliters of DNA was loaded on 1% agarose gel and visualized under UV light. The rest of the DNA was subjected to construct sequencing library. DNA in supernatant was extracted by using a QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions, and subjected to library construction.

For the lysate, 5 ml of  $2-4 \times 10^5$ /ml HL60 cells were cultured at RT for 24 h to induce apoptosis, then cells were centrifuged and resuspended in 500 µl PBS. After a vigorous vortex, the tubes were centrifuged at the maximum speed for 5 min and the cell debris was removed. The lysate was treated with 1 U DNASE1 (Takara, Shanghai, China) for 30 min at 37 °C. DNA was then extracted from the lysate using a QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions, and subjected to construct sequencing library.

#### Detection of HL60 cells undergoing apoptosis

Cytospins of wild type and apoptotic HL60 cells were prepared by cytocentrifugation at 1000 rpm in a Shandon Cytospin 3 centrifuge for 5 min. The slides were then methanol fixed, stained with May-Grünwald stain for 15 min followed by 30 min in 5% Giemsa stain (all from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Slides were then read under a microscope.

Apoptosis of HL60 cells was measured using a terminal-deoxynucleo-tidyltransferase (TdT)-mediated deoxyuridine triphosphate (dUTP) nick end labeling (TUNEL) technique. The "In situ Cell Death Detection Kit, AP" (Roche), which contains calf thymus TdT, fluoresceindUTP and an alkaline phosphatase anti-fluorescein sheep Fab fragment, was used according to the manufacturer's instruction. The samples were then subjected to FACS analysis to evaluate cell apoptosis. PE-CF594 was added to the cells (5  $\mu$ l/tube) and incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. The number of apoptotic cells was counted by flow cytometry.

# Library construction and sequencing of HL60 and NIH3T3 cell lines

The integrity and concentration of DNA were determined by Qubit 4.0 fluorometer dsDNA HS Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). About  $0.5-1 \mu g$  high-quality DNA sample was used to construct sequencing library. Sequencing libraries were prepared with KAPA Hyper Prep Kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cohesive ends were repaired into blunt ends. The 3' ends of the fragments were additionally adenylated with a single A, allowing hybridizing ligation to the 3' overhanging T of sequencing adapters. After purification and ligation to the adapters, the resulting DNA fragments were selected using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany) for a desired size of ~ 420 bp, followed by PCR amplification. Subsequently, purified library was sequenced on NovaSeq 6000 System (Illumina, San Diego, USA) for 2×150 bp paired-end sequencing for whole genome sequencing (WGS) at 1×coverage.

#### Blood sample collection and processing

Nine hundred one healthy human subjects and 438 newly diagnosed cancer patients were enrolled in the study. 8 ml peripheral blood from each individual was collected in Cell-Free DNA BCT® blood collection tubes (STRECK, La Vista, NE, USA). Blood samples were centrifuged at 1600 g for 10 min at 4 °C and the supernatants were collected, and then were further centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. cfDNA was extracted from at least 2 ml plasma using QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. cfDNA was subjected to library construction by KAPA Hyper Prep Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. Prepared libraries were sequenced on Illumina X Ten or NovaSeq system with 2×150 bp paired-end sequencing for WGS at ~  $3 \times$  coverage.

Thirty-eight healthy dogs were enrolled in the study and 4 ml peripheral blood from each dog was collected in Boomingshing cfDNA Blood Collection Tubes (Shenzhen, China). The same protocols and instruments were used for plasma prep, cfDNA extraction, library prep, and sequencing as for human blood samples. The coverage of WGS was at ~1×.

#### Sequence alignment

Clean sequencing reads were mapped to human reference genome (UCSC hg38, https://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/ goldenPath/hg38/bigZips/), mouse reference genome (GRCm38.p4, https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/ all/GCF/000/001/635/GCF\_000001635.24\_GRCm38. p4/GCF\_000001635.24\_GRCm38.p4\_genomic.fna.gz), or dog reference genome (Cfamiliaris.UCSC.canFam3, https://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/canFam3/ bigZips/) respectively with BWA-MEM (v0.7.17) after cutting adapters (cutadapt v2.10), removing low quality reads and N reads, and trimming 9 bp from the read's 5' end. Sambamba (v0.6.8) was used to mark duplication and GATK (v4.0.12.0) was used for base quality score recalibration. Alignment quality metrics were computed using picard (v2.18; CollectWgsMetrics, CollectGcBiasMetrics, CollectMultipleMetrics). The BWA (version: 0.7.17) MEM mode was used for mapping the sequencing reads, with default parameters.

#### Fragment size analysis

Read pairs with a MAPQ score below 30 for either read or PCR duplicates were removed. Subsequently, cfDNA fragment size was calculated based on the mapping position of the remaining paired-end reads. We summarized the number of cfDNA fragment within each fragment size and depicted the distribution of cfDNA fragment size.

#### End motif analysis

The identification of cfDNA fragment end motifs was described by Jiang et al. [36]. In brief, after filtering out low-quality (MAPQ < 30) or duplicated reads, the end motifs were identified using the first 8-nucleotide sequence on each 5' fragment end (Watson and Crick strands) of plasma DNA after alignment to human reference genome (UCSC hg38). The base content proportions of the end motifs were calculated at each position. The end motifs were grouped based on fragment size, then calculate the base content proportions of at the first position of end motifs under each fragment size.

### Statistics

All statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software (https://www.r-project.org, version 4.1.2). Delong's test was used to compare the difference of AUC value.

#### Abbreviations

cfDNA Circulating cell-free DNA sWGS Shallow whole-genome sequencing ctDNA Circulating tumor DNA SS Serum starvation HD High density 
 RT
 Room temperature

 CPT
 Camptothecin

 MGG
 May-Grunwald-Giemsa

 TUNEL
 TdT-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling

 DFFB
 DNA fragmentation factor B

#### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-023-01752-6.

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. Electrophoresis of DNA extracted from GM12878 cells. Although GM12878 cells were killed with various stimuli including room temperature (RT, ~22°C, 48h), low temperature (4°C, 48h), heat shock (42°C, 1h), serum starvation (SS, 72h), high density culture (HD, 72h), UV light (1h), camptothecin (CPT, 10µM, 5h), arsenic trioxide (ATO, 1µM, 72h), carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazine (CCCP, 10µM, 1h), puromycin (Puro, 5µg/ml, 72h), and hygromycin (Hygro, 1000µg/ml, 72h), no typical DNA ladder could be found. Marker: 100 bp, 250 bp, 500 bp, 750 bp, 1000 bp, 2000 bp. 1.0 % agarose gel.

Additional file 2: Fig. S2. DNA ladder and cfDNA profiles from NIH3T3 cells. (A) Electrophoresis analysis of the apoptotic DNA ladder extracted from NIH3T3 cells treated with  $H_2O_2$  (1000  $\mu$ M) for 96 h. Marker: 100 bp, 250 bp, 500 bp, 750 bp, 1000 bp, 2000 bp. 1.0 % agarose gel. (B) DNA size distribution profiles revealed by sWGS in pellets and supernatant from NIH3T3 cells treated with  $H_2O_2$ .

Additional file 3: Fig. S3. RT-PCR analysis of *DNASE1L3* expression. RT-PCR analysis indicated *DNASE1L3* was not expressed in HL60 cells. Marker: 100 bp, 250 bp, 500 bp, 750 bp, 1000 bp, 2000 bp. 1.0 % agarose gel. Lane 1-3: PCR products with three different pairs of primers on a *DNASE1L3* plasmid. Lane 4-6: 40 rounds of PCR with the same primers failed to amplify *DNA-SE1L3* in HL60 cells.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to all the healthy individuals, the dogs and their owners, and the cancer patients, who participated in this study.

#### Authors' contributions

M.M. and J.Z. designed the study; G.L., Q.R., L.Y., H.G., C.D., B.W., and J.M. collected participants' samples and clinical information; D.Z., H.W., and G.Z. performed experiments; S.L. designed bioinformatics pipelines and analyzed results with help of W.W., S.G., and Y.L.; J.Z. and M.M. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

#### Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article, its supplementary information files, and publicly available repositories. The data from the HL60 cell line are now available at https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA963064 with an open access mandate. The data from NIH3T3 cell line are available at https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/bioproject/ browse/PRJCA017828 with an open access mandate. Sequencing data of the healthy individuals and cancer patients, as well as clinical information about these patients are available with controlled access at https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/ bioproject//bioproject/PRJCA017828.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

A total of 901 healthy human subjects and 438 newly diagnosed cancer patients admitted to Peking University Shenzhen Hospital, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, and Henan Cancer Hospital were enrolled in the study. The study was approved by the Independent Ethics Committee at the leading site— Peking University Shenzhen Hospital (No. 2019.052). All participants provided written informed consent upon enrollment. Eight milliliters of peripheral blood from all participants were collected after enrollment. Thirty-eight healthy dogs participated in the study and 4 ml peripheral blood from each dog was collected by veterinarians with the consent from the owners. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 24 November 2022 Accepted: 31 October 2023 Published online: 13 November 2023

#### References

- 1. Mandel P, Metais P. Nuclear acids in human blood plasma. C R Seances Soc Biol Fil. 1948;142(3–4):241–3.
- Bronkhorst AJ, Ungerer V, Diehl F, Anker P, Dor Y, Fleischhacker M, et al. Towards systematic nomenclature for cell-free DNA. Hum Genet. 2021;140(4):565–78.
- Lo YMD, Han DSC, Jiang P, Chiu RWK. Epigenetics, fragmentomics, and topology of cell-free DNA in liquid biopsies. Science. 2021;372:6538.
- Meng Z, Ren Q, Zhong G, Li S, Chen Y, Wu W, et al. Noninvasive detection of hepatocellular carcinoma with circulating tumor DNA features and a-fetoprotein. J Mol Diagn. 2021;23(9):1174–84.
- Liu Y. At the dawn: cell-free DNA fragmentomics and gene regulation. Br J Cancer. 2022;126(3):379–90.
- Han DSC, Ni M, Chan RWY, Chan VWH, Lui KO, Chiu RWK, et al. The biology of cell-free DNA fragmentation and the roles of DNASE1, DNASE1L3, and DFFB. Am J Hum Genet. 2020;106(2):202–14.
- Cheng THT, Lui KO, Peng XL, Cheng SH, Jiang P, Chan KCA, et al. DNase1 does not appear to play a major role in the fragmentation of plasma DNA in a knockout mouse model. Clin Chem. 2018;64(2):406–8.
- Serpas L, Chan RWY, Jiang P, Ni M, Sun K, Rashidfarrokhi A, et al. Dnase113 deletion causes aberrations in length and end-motif frequencies in plasma DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116(2):641–9.
- Ivanov M, Baranova A, Butler T, Spellman P, Mileyko V. Non-random fragmentation patterns in circulating cell-free DNA reflect epigenetic regulation. BMC Genomics. 2015;16(Suppl 13):S1.
- Snyder MW, Kircher M, Hill AJ, Daza RM, Shendure J. Cell-free DNA comprises an in vivo nucleosome footprint that informs its tissues-of-origin. Cell. 2016;164(1–2):57–68.
- Sun K, Jiang P, Chan KC, Wong J, Cheng YK, Liang RH, et al. Plasma DNA tissue mapping by genome-wide methylation sequencing for noninvasive prenatal, cancer, and transplantation assessments. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(40):E5503–12.
- Sun K, Jiang P, Cheng SH, Cheng THT, Wong J, Wong VWS, et al. Orientation-aware plasma cell-free DNA fragmentation analysis in open chromatin regions informs tissue of origin. Genome Res. 2019;29(3):418–27.
- An Y, Zhao X, Zhang Z, Xia Z, Yang M, Ma L, et al. DNA methylation analysis explores the molecular basis of plasma cell-free DNA fragmentation. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):287.
- Lui YY, Chik KW, Chiu RW, Ho CY, Lam CW, Lo YM. Predominant hematopoietic origin of cell-free DNA in plasma and serum after sex-mismatched bone marrow transplantation. Clin Chem. 2002;48(3):421–7.
- Shellhaas JL, Zuckerman SH. In vitro detection of apoptotic stimuli by use of the HL-60 myeloid leukemic cell line. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 1995;2(5):598–603.
- Shimura M, Ishizaka Y, Yuo A, Hatake K, Oshima M, Sasaki T, et al. Characterization of room temperature induced apoptosis in HL-60. FEBS Lett. 1997;417(3):379–84.
- Yoshida A, Ueda T, Wano Y, Nakamura T. DNA damage and cell killing by camptothecin and its derivative in human leukemia HL-60 cells. Jpn J Cancer Res. 1993;84(5):566–73.
- Widlak P, Li P, Wang X, Garrard WT. Cleavage preferences of the apoptotic endonuclease DFF40 (caspase-activated DNase or nuclease) on naked DNA and chromatin substrates. J Biol Chem. 2000;275(11):8226–32.
- 19. Keyel PA. Dnases in health and disease. Dev Biol. 2017;429(1):1-11.

- Inokuchi S, Mitoma H, Kawano S, Nakano S, Ayano M, Kimoto Y, et al. Homeostatic Milieu Induces Production of Deoxyribonuclease 1-like 3 from Myeloid Cells. J Immunol. 2020;204(8):2088–97.
- Jiang P, Chan CW, Chan KC, Cheng SH, Wong J, Wong VW, et al. Lengthening and shortening of plasma DNA in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015;112(11):E1317–25 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ biostudies/studies/S-EPMC4372002?xr=true).
- Cristiano S, Leal A, Phallen J, Fiksel J, Adleff V, Bruhm DC, et al. Genomewide cell-free DNA fragmentation in patients with cancer. Nature. 2019;570(7761):385–9 Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP, study ID 34536).
- Wang Y, Fan X, Bao H, Xia F, Wan J, Shen L, et al. Utility of Circulating Free DNA Fragmentomics in the Prediction of Pathological Response after Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy in Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer. Clin Chem. 2023;69(1):88–99. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvac173.
- 24. Wan JCM, Stephens D, Luo L, White JR, Stewart CM, Rousseau B, et al. Genome-wide mutational signatures in low-coverage whole genome sequencing of cell-free DNA. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):4953. figshare https://ega-archive.org EGAS00001006377. EGAD00001005339.
- Hu X, Luo K, Shi H, Yan X, Huang R, Zhao B, et al. Integrated 5-hydroxymethylcytosine and fragmentation signatures as enhanced biomarkers in lung cancer. Clin Epigenetics. 2022;14(1):15. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13148-022-01233-7.
- Nguyen HT, Khoa Huynh LA, Nguyen TV, Tran DH, Thu Tran TT, Le Khang ND, et al. Multimodal analysis of ctDNA methylation and fragmentomic profiles enhances detection of nonmetastatic colorectal cancer. Future Oncol. 2022;18(35):3895–912. https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2022-1041.
- 27 Zhou H, Zhang X, Liu Q, Yang J, Bai J, Yin M, et al. Can circulating cell free DNA be a promising marker in ovarian cancer? - a genome-scale profiling study in a single institution. J Ovarian Res. 2023;16(1):11. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13048-022-01068-z.
- Teif VB, Mallm JP, Sharma T, Mark Welch DB, Rippe K, Eils R, et al. Nucleosome repositioning during differentiation of a human myeloid leukemia cell line. Nucleus. 2017;8(2):188–204. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2419.
- Sanchez C, Roch B, Mazard T, Blache P, Dache ZAA, Pastor B, et al. Circulating nuclear DNA structural features, origins, and complete size profile revealed by fragmentomics. JCI Insight. 2021;6(7). https://doi.org/10. 1172/jci.insight.144561DS1
- Kim YJ, Jeon H, Jeon S, Lee SH, Kim C, Ahn JH, et al. A method for early diagnosis of lung cancer from tumor originated DNA fragments using plasma cfDNA methylome and fragmentome profiles. Mol Cell Probes. 2022;66:101873.
- Esfahani MS, Hamilton EG, Mehrmohamadi M, Nabet BY, Alig SK, King DA, et al. Inferring gene expression from cell-free DNA fragmentation profiles. Nat Biotechnol. 2022;40(4):585-97. figshare https://epicseq.stanford.edu. SRA PRJNA795275.
- 32. Hallermayr A, Wohlfrom T, Steinke-Lange V, Benet-Pagès A, Scharf F, Heitzer E, et al. Somatic copy number alteration and fragmentation analysis in circulating tumor DNA for cancer screening and treatment monitoring in colorectal cancer patients. J Hematol Oncol. 2022;15(1):125 European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA) under accession number EGAS00001006490.
- Bedin C, Enzo MV, Del Bianco P, Pucciarelli S, Nitti D, Agostini M. Diagnostic and prognostic role of cell-free DNA testing for colorectal cancer patients. Int J Cancer. 2017;140(8):1888–98.
- Deng Z, Xiao M, Du D, Luo N, Liu D, Liu T, et al. DNASE1L3 as a Prognostic Biomarker Associated with Immune Cell Infiltration in Cancer. Onco Targets Ther. 2021;14:2003–17.
- Hummel EM, Hessas E, Müller S, Beiter T, Fisch M, Eibl A, et al. Cell-free DNA release under psychosocial and physical stress conditions. Transl Psychiatry. 2018;8(1):236.
- Jiang P, Sun K, Peng W, Cheng SH, Ni M, Yeung PC, et al. Plasma DNA End-Motif Profiling as a Fragmentomic Marker in Cancer, Pregnancy, and Transplantation. Cancer Discov. 2020;10(5):664–73.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.